AUDITING PROCEDURES REPORT

AUDITING PROCEDURES REPORT Issued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended. Filing is mandatory.	(1			
Local Government Type:	Local Government Name:		County	
☐ City ☐ Township ☐ Village ☐ Other	City of River Rouge, Michigan		Wayne	
Audit Date Opinion Dat June 30, 2003 Opinion Dat		Date Accountant R December 8, 2003	•	ted To State:
We have audited the financial statements of this local with the Statements of the Governmental Accounting Counties and Local Units of Government in Michigan	g Standards Board (GASB) and	the Uniform Reporting		
We affirm that: 1. We have complied with the <i>Bulletin for the Audits</i> 2. We are certified public accountants registered to		n Michigan as revised.		
We further affirm the following. "Yes" responses have and recommendations.	been disclosed in the financial	statements, including the	e notes, or in the	he report of comments
□ yes □ no	Is/agencies of the local unit are of this unit are of this unit's unrompliance with the Uniform Accordantions of either an order issured Municipal Loan Act.	reserved fund balances/repunting and Budgeting A punting and Budgeting A ued under the Municipal F y with statutory requirem	etained earnin act (P.A. 2 of 1 Finance Act or	ngs (P.A. 275 of 1980) 1968, as amended). its requirements, or ar
yes no 6. The local unit has been delind yes no 7. The local unit has violated the (normal costs) in the current	982, as amended [MCL 38.1132 quent in distributing tax revenue Constitutional requirement (Artic year. If the plan is more than 1 contributions are due (paid durin	s that were collected for cle 9, Section 24) to fund 00% funded and the ov	current year ea	arned pension benefits
yes no 8. The local unit uses credit card		cable policy as required l		
We have enclosed the following:		Enclosed	To Be Forwarde	Not ed Required
The letter of comments and recommendations.				
Reports on individual federal assistance programs (p	orogram audits).			\boxtimes
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).		\boxtimes		
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Name):	ante & Moran, PLI	_C		
Street Address	City		State	ZIP
27400 Northwestern Highway	Southfi	ield	MI	48034
Accountant Signature				
Plante & Moran, PLLC				

Financial Report
with Additional Information
June 30, 2003



	Content
Report Letter	1-2
General Purpose Financial Statements	
Combined Balance Sheet - All Fund Types, Account Groups, and Component Units	3-6
Combined Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit) - All Governmental Fund Types and Component Units	7
Combined Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit) - Budget and Actual - General and Special Revenue Fund Types	8-9
Combined Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Retained Earnings - Enterprise Fund - Water and Sewer	10
Statement of Cash Flows - Enterprise Fund - Water and Sewer	11
Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets - Pension Trust Funds	12
Combining Balance Sheet - Component Units	13
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Component Units	14
Notes to Financial Statements	15-39
Additional Information	
Retirement System Required Supplementary Information: Policemen and Firemen Retirement System:	
Schedule of Funding Progress	40
Schedule of Employer Contributions	40
General Employees' Retirement System:	•
Schedule of Funding Progress Schedule of Employer Contributions	41 41
Schedule of Employer Contributions	41



Contents (Continued)

Additional Information (Continued)	
General Fund Schedule of Expenditures - Budget and Actual	42
Special Revenue Funds: Combining Balance Sheet Combining Statement of Payanua Evpanditumes and Changes in Evpand	43-44
Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit)	45-46
Trust and Agency Funds - Combining Balance Sheet	47
Trust Funds - Pension Retirement Systems: Combining Balance Sheet Combining Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets	48 49
Schedule of Bonded Indebtedness	50-57





27400 Northwestern Highway
P.O. Box 307
Southfield, MI 48037-0307
Tel: 248.352.2500

Fax: 248.352.0018 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of River Rouge, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying general purpose financial statements of the City of River Rouge, Michigan as of and for the year ended June 30, 2003, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City of River Rouge, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

The accompanying general purpose financial statements do not present government-wide financial statements to display the financial position and changes in financial position of its governmental activities, business-type activities, and discretely presented component units. In addition, the combining component unit information presents only modified accrual information. Furthermore, the City has not followed the formatting of separately reporting its governmental funds (including major funds), proprietary funds (including major Enterprise Funds), and fiduciary funds. The City has continued to report information by fund type and account group; the General Fixed Assets Account Group excludes general infrastructure assets and accumulated depreciation. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require the presentation of government-wide financial statements and full accrual information for the combining component unit statements. Further, accounting principles require separate fundbased reporting of its governmental funds (including major funds), proprietary funds (including major Enterprise Funds), and fiduciary funds. In addition, accounting principles require the general fixed asset data to include general infrastructure assets, and the accumulation of depreciation of general fixed assets over their estimated useful lives. The amounts that would be reported in government-wide financial statements for the City's governmental activities, business-type activities, and discretely presented component units (including the full accrual combining component unit data), as well as the amounts of general infrastructure assets and general fixed assets accumulated depreciation, are not reasonably determinable.

Independent accounting fire

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of River Rouge, Michigan

In our opinion, because of the effects of the matter discussed in the preceding paragraph, the general purpose financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of the City of River Rouge, Michigan as of June 30, 2003 or the changes in its financial position or its cash flows, where applicable, for the year then ended.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 7, 2003 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The accompanying general purpose financial statements also do not include a management's discussion and analysis (which would present an analysis of the financial performance for the year) or budgetary comparison schedules with original appropriations for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that these are necessary to supplement, although are not required to be a part of, the financial statements.

Plante + Moran, PLLC

November 14, 2003



		Special	
		•	5 .1.0
	General	Revenue	Debt Service
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2)	\$ 3,476,306	\$ -	\$ -
Marketable securities (Note 2)	_	-	_
Receivables:			
Taxes	2,549,220	_	-
Customers	-	-	-
Other	18,632	-	-
Due from other governmental units	764,640	312,732	-
Due from other funds (Note 3)	1,288,182	990,956	2,472,575
Due from primary government	-	-	-
Prepaid expenditures and deposits	331,666	-	-
Real estate inventory (Note 1)	-	-	-
Restricted assets (Note 4)	-	-	-
Land, buildings, and equipment (Note 5)	-	-	-
Amount to be provided for retirement of general			
long-term debt	-		
Total assets	\$ 8,428,646	\$ 1,303,688	\$ 2,472,575

Governmental Fund Types

Combined Balance Sheet All Fund Types, Account Groups, and Component Units June 30, 2003

Proprietary	Fiduciary				
Fund Type	Fund Types	Account	Groups		
			Total Primary		
Enterprise -	Trust	General	General	Government	
Water and	and	Fixed	Long-term	(Memorandum	Component
Sewer	Agency	Assets	Debt	Only)	Units
_		-	-		
\$ -	\$ 3,119,839	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,596,145	\$ 113,541
-	34,519,852	-	-	34,519,852	-
1,109,231	-	-	-	3,658,451	-
1,556,391	-	-	-	1,556,391	-
-	152,615	-	-	171,247	10,131
-	-	-	-	1,077,372	-
-	5,096	-	-	4,756,809	-
-	-	-	-	-	81,602
-	-	-	-	331,666	-
-	-	-	-	-	215,000
4,918,561	-	-	-	4,918,561	-
44,760,831	-	14,258,152	-	59,018,983	-
			0.004.4:-		
			8,336,615	8,336,615	127,706
\$52,345,014	\$ 37,797,402	\$14,258,152	\$ 8,336,615	\$ 124,942,092	\$ 547,980



		Gov	erni	mental Fund T	ypes	1
		Special General Revenue		Debt Service		
Liabilities and Fund Equity (Deficit)						
Liabilities						
Vouchers payable	\$	1,021,050	\$	286,131	\$	-
Due to other governmental units		3,090,798		-		-
Due to other funds (Note 3)		3,463,531		225,352		-
Due to component units		81,602		-		-
Accrued and other liabilities		716,025		-		-
Deferred revenue		1,920,536		292,251		-
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets						
(Note 4)		-		-		-
Long-term debt (Note 6)		-		<u>-</u> _		
Total liabilities		10,293,542		803,734		-
Contingencies (Note 12)		-		-		-
Fund Equity (Deficit)						
Investment in general fixed assets		-		-		-
Contributed capital (Note 14)		-		-		-
Retained earnings:						
Reserved (Note 15)		-		-		-
Unreserved		-		-		-
Fund balances (deficit):						
Reserved (Note 15)		1,303,645		-		2,472,575
Unreserved:						
Designated (Note 15)		-		5,297		-
Undesignated		(3,168,541)		494,657		
Total fund equity (deficit)		(1,864,896)		499,954		2,472,575
Total liabilities and fund equity (deficit)	<u>\$</u>	8,428,646	<u>\$</u>	1,303,688	<u>\$</u>	2,472,575

Combined Balance Sheet All Fund Types, Account Groups, and Component Units (Continued) June 30, 2003

Proprietary	Fiduciary				
Fund Type	Fund Types	Account	Groups		
				Total Primary	
Enterprise -	Trust	General	General	Government	
Water and	and	Fixed	Long-term	(Memorandum	Component
Sewer	Agency	Assets	Debt	Only)	Units
\$ 1,441,174	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,748,355	\$ -
-	2,044	-	-	3,092,842	-
971,979	95,947	-	-	4,756,809	-
-	-	-	-	81,602	-
162,337	47,366	-	-	925,728	7,473
-	-	-	-	2,212,787	-
1,463,651	_	_	_	1,463,651	_
21,733,061			8,336,615	30,069,676	127,706
25,772,202	145,357	-	8,336,615	45,351,450	135,179
-	-	-	-	-	-
_	_	14,258,152	_	14,258,152	_
13,219,997	-	-	-	13,219,997	-
708,170	-	-	-	708,170	-
12,644,645	-	-	-	12,644,645	-
-	37,652,045	-	-	41,428,265	222,468
-	-	-	-	5,297	-
				(2,673,884)	190,333
26,572,812	37,652,045	14,258,152		79,590,642	412,801
\$ 52,345,014	\$ 37,797,402	\$ 14,258,152	\$ 8,336,615	\$ 124,942,092	\$ 547,980



Combined Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit) All Governmental Fund Types and Component Units Year Ended June 30, 2003

				Total Primary	
				Government	
		Special		(Memorandum	Component
	General	Revenue	Debt Service	Only)	Units
Revenue					
Property taxes	\$ 6,211,113	\$ 862,670	\$ -	\$ 7,073,783	\$ 9,233
Licenses and permits	291,832	-	-	291,832	-
Federal sources	122,936	262,848	-	385,784	-
State sources	1,713,297	506,586	-	2,219,883	-
Charges for services	306,574	-	-	306,574	-
Fines and forfeitures	312,953	-	-	312,953	-
Interest	78,458	12,183	-	90,641	8,018
Other	494,339	28,380		522,719	256,471
Total revenue	9,531,502	1,672,667	-	11,204,169	273,722
Expenditures					
General government	2,442,400	-	-	2,442,400	-
Public safety	3,942,889	-	-	3,942,889	-
Public services	1,060,184	1,050,485	-	2,110,669	-
Street lighting	223,184	-	-	223,184	-
Culture and recreation	263,210	216,051	-	479,261	-
Drug enforcement	-	72,124	-	72,124	-
Highway and streets	-	510,390	-	510,390	-
Economic and community development	-	304,883	-	304,883	266,250
Other functions	4,473,015	-	-	4,473,015	-
Debt service	357,222		150,142	507,364	35,003
Total expenditures	12,762,104	2,153,933	150,142	15,066,179	301,253
Excess of Expenditures Over Revenue	(3,230,602)	(481,266)	(150,142)	(3,862,010)	(27,531)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)					
Proceeds from debt issuance	6,805,000	-	-	6,805,000	-
Defeasance of debt	(775,000)	-	-	(775,000)	-
Operating transfers in	-	125,571	2,622,717	2,748,288	-
Operating transfers out	(2,633,681)	(114,607)	-	(2,748,288)	-
Operating transfers from primary government	-	-	-	-	68,631
Operating transfers to component unit	(68,631)			(68,631)	
Total other financing sources (uses)	3,327,688	10,964	2,622,717	5,961,369	68,631
Excess of Revenue and Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Uses	97,086	(470,302)	2,472,575	2,099,359	41,100
Fund Balances (Deficit) - July 1, 2002	(1,961,982)	970,256	_	(991,726)	371,701
. , , ,			¢ 2 472 575		
Fund Balances (Deficit) - June 30, 2003	<u>\$(1,864,896)</u>	<u>\$ 499,954</u>	<u>\$ 2,472,575</u>	<u>\$ 1,107,633</u>	<u>\$ 412,801</u>



	General Fund					
						Variance
						Favorable
		Budget		Actual	(l	Jnfavorable)
Daviania						
Revenue	.	5 2/2 / 10	Φ.	(2 1 1 2	Φ.	047.503
Property taxes	\$	5,263,610	\$	6,211,113	\$	947,503
Licenses and permits		303,200		291,832		(11,368)
Federal sources		113,750		122,936		9,186
State sources		1,725,231		1,713,297		(11,934)
Charges for services		299,000		306,574		7,574
Fines and forfeitures		325,000		312,953		(12,047)
Interest		50,000		78,458		28,458
Other revenue		435,204		494,339		59,135
Proceeds from bond issuance		6,805,000		6,805,000		-
Transfers from other funds		230,000		225,098		(4,902)
Total revenue		15,549,995		16,561,600		1,011,605
Expenditures						
General government		2,312,987		2,442,400		(129,413)
Public safety		3,935,674		3,942,889		(7,215)
Public services		1,033,027		1,285,282		(252,255)
Street lighting		222,813		223,184		(371)
Culture and recreation		247,714		263,210		(15,496)
Drug enforcement		_		-		· -
Highways and streets		_		_		_
Other functions		4,460,551		4,473,015		(12,464)
Debt service		1,132,222		1,132,222		-
Transfers to other funds		67,050		2,633,681		(2,566,631)
Transfers to component units		68,952		68,631		321
Community development		<u>-</u>		<u> </u>		
Total expenditures		13,480,990		16,464,514		(2,983,524)
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures		2,069,005		97,086		(1,971,919)
Fund Balances (Deficit) - July 1, 2002		(1,961,982)		(1,961,982)		
Fund Balances (Deficit) - June 30, 2003	\$	107,023	\$	(1,864,896)	\$	(1,971,919)

Combined Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit) - Budget and Actual General and Special Revenue Fund Types Year Ended June 30, 2003

Sį	oecia	al Revenue Fun	ds		
				Variance	
				Favorable	
 Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)		
\$ 1,241,149	\$	862,670 -	\$	(378,479)	
260,000		262,848		2,848	
520,000 -		506,586 -		(13,414) -	
26,300 5,000		12,183 28,380		- (14,117) 23,380	
 158,300		125,571		(32,729)	
2,210,749		1,798,238		(412,511)	
-		-		-	
- 1,039,875		- 1,050,485		- (10,610)	
- 228,375		- 216,051		- 12,324	
75,700		72,124		3,576	
572,050		510,390		61,660	
=		=		-	
91,250		- 114,607		(23,357)	
 217,000		304,883		(87,883)	
 2,224,250		2,268,540		(44,290)	
(13,501)		(470,302)		(456,801)	
 970,256		970,256			
\$ 956,755	\$	499,954	\$	(456,801)	



Combined Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Retained Earnings - Enterprise Fund Water and Sewer Year Ended June 30, 2003

Operating Revenue - Customer billings	\$	2,857,898
Operating Expenses		
Cost of water		595,988
Cost of sewage disposal		671,875
Water operations		316,751
Sewer operations		886,949
Depreciation		946,749
Total operating expenses		3,418,312
Operating Loss		(560,414)
Nonoperating Income (Expenses)		
Property taxes		3,533,221
Investment income		62,288
Federal sources		4,500
Miscellaneous expense		(4,500)
Interest expense		(1,031,369)
Total nonoperating income		2,564,140
Net Income		2,003,726
Retained Earnings - July 1, 2002		11,084,744
Add Depreciation on Contributed Capital		264,345
Retained Earnings - June 30, 2003	<u>\$</u>	13,352,815



Statement of Cash Flows Enterprise Fund - Water and Sewer Year Ended June 30, 2003

Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Operating loss	\$	(560,414)
Adjustments to reconcile operating loss to net cash from operating activities:		
Depreciation		946,749
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Taxes receivable		134,790
Customer receivables		191, 4 33
Accounts payable		(456,997)
Accrued and other liabilities		149,683
Net cash provided by operating activities		405,244
Cash Flows from Noncapital Financing Activities - Loan repayments to		
other funds		(590,390)
Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities		
Principal and interest paid on long-term debt		(1,852,437)
Proceeds from property tax levy		3,533,221
Replenishment of reserves held at Wayne County		(870,438)
Purchase of capital assets		(150,345)
Net cash provided by capital and related financing activities		660,001
Cash Flows from Investing Activities - Interest received on investments		3,681
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		478,536
Cash and Cash Equivalents - July 1, 2002		229,634
Cash and Cash Equivalents - June 30, 2003	<u>\$</u>	708,170
Balance Sheet Classifications of Cash and Cash Equivalents - Restricted assets (Note 4)	<u>\$</u>	708,170

Noncash Investing, Capital, and Financing Activities - Construction expenditures on the EPA-mandated CSO basin sewer line improvement project in the current year paid from County reserves totaled approximately \$1,297,000. The balance of unpaid liabilities at the County also increased by approximately \$173,000. The County also paid approximately \$250,000 of bond and State Revolving Loan principal and interest payments related to the Downriver Sewage Improvement Project. The County borrowed approximately \$1,358,000 from the State Revolving Loan program on the City's behalf. The proceeds were received by the County to fund final construction costs related to the CSO basin sewer line improvement project. Interest earned during the year on assets held at Wayne County related to both projects was approximately \$59,000.



Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets Pension Trust Funds Year Ended June 30, 2003

Additions		
Investment income (loss):		
Interest and dividends	\$	1,096,337
Net depreciation in fair value of investments		(186,866)
Mortgage repayments and other		65,393
Net investment income		974,864
Contributions:		
Employer		1,011,503
Employees		333,117
Total additions		2,319,484
Deductions		
Benefit payments		4,737,543
Administrative expenses		447,637
Total deductions		5,185,180
Net Decrease in Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits		(2,865,696)
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits		
Beginning of year		40,517,741
End of year	<u>\$</u>	37,652,045



Combining Balance Sheet Component Units Year Ended June 30, 2003

	Economic Development Corporation		Downtown Development Authority			Total
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 2) Loan receivable - Net of allowance Due from primary government Real estate inventory (Note I) Amount to be provided for long-term debt	\$	113,541 10,131 45,574 215,000 127,706	\$	- - 36,028 - -	\$	113,541 10,131 81,602 215,000 127,706
Total assets	<u>\$</u>	511,952	\$	36,028	\$	547,980
Liabilities and Fund Balance						
Liabilities						
Security deposits	\$	7,473	\$	-	\$	7,473
Long-term debt (Note 6)		127,706				127,706
Total liabilities		135,179		-		135,179
Fund Balance						
Reserved (Note 15)		222,468		-		222,468
Unreserved - Undesignated		154,305		36,028		190,333
Total fund balance		376,773		36,028		412,801
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	511,952	\$	36,028	<u>\$</u>	547,980



Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances Component Units Year Ended June 30, 2003

	De	Economic Downtown Development Development Corporation Authority		 Total	
Revenue					
Property taxes	\$	-	\$	9,233	\$ 9,233
Rental income - Building		193,694		-	193,694
Rental income - Tower		17,940		-	17,940
Sale of asset		18,754		-	18,754
Interest		8,018		-	8,018
Other		26,083			 26,083
Total revenue		264,489		9,233	273,722
Expenditures					
Project and other expenses		38,320		7,921	46,241
Rental expenses		220,009		-	220,009
Debt service		35,003			 35,003
Total expenditures		293,332		7,921	 301,253
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures		(28,843)		1,312	(27,531)
Other Financing Sources - Operating transfers from primary government		68,63 l		_	68,63 l
nom primary government	-				
Excess of Revenue and Other Financing Sources Over Expenditures		39,788		1,312	41,100
Fund Balances - July 1, 2002		336,985		34,716	 371,701
Fund Balances - June 30, 2003	\$	376,773	\$	36,028	\$ 412,801



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the City of River Rouge, Michigan (the "City") do not conform to generally accepted accounting principles as applicable to governmental units. The City has not modified its financial reporting to adopt Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments. As such, the general purpose financial statements exclude the management's discussion and analysis, government-wide statement of net assets and government-wide statement of activities. Furthermore, the City has not followed the formatting of separately reporting its governmental funds (including major funds), proprietary funds (including major Enterprise Funds), and fiduciary funds. The City has continued to report information by fund type and account group; the General Fixed Assets Account Group excludes general infrastructure assets and accumulated depreciation on general fixed assets.

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies:

Reporting Entity

The City is governed by an elected mayor and a six-member council. As required by generally accepted accounting principles, these financial statements present the City of River Rouge and its component units. The individual component units discussed below are included in the City's reporting entity because of the significance of their operational and financial relationship with the City.

The Policemen and Firemen and General Employees' Retirement Systems have been blended into the City's financial statements. The systems are governed by five-member pension boards that include three individuals chosen by the mayor and City Council. The systems are reported as if part of the primary government because of the fiduciary responsibility that the City retains relative to the operations of the retirement systems. Additionally, the 26th District Court Division I Agency Fund has also been blended into the City's financial statements.

Discretely Presented Component Units

The following entities are reported within the component unit column in the combined financial statements. They are reported in a separate column to emphasize that they are legally separate from the City.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The Economic Development Corporation (the "EDC") was created to provide means and methods for the encouragement and assistance of industrial and commercial enterprises in relocating, purchasing, constructing, improving, or expanding within the City so as to provide needed services and facilities of such enterprises to the residents of the City. The EDC's governing body, which consists of nine individuals, is selected by the City Council. A complete financial report can be obtained by contacting the City Clerk at 10600 W. Jefferson Avenue, River Rouge, Michigan 48218.

The Downtown Development Authority (the "DDA") was created to correct and prevent deterioration in the downtown district, encourage historical preservation, and to promote economic growth within the downtown district. The Authority's governing body, which consists of I I individuals, is appointed by the mayor with the approval of the City Council. In addition, the Authority's budget is subject to approval by the City Council. A complete financial report for the DDA is not available.

The City has excluded the Housing Commission from this report as a component unit because the City does not exercise substantial oversight responsibility. The Housing Commission financial statements have been issued under separate cover. The Housing Commission reimburses the City for all payroll expenditures, including pension contributions. The Housing Commission has outstanding liabilities to the General Fund in the amount of \$22,253 for payroll reimbursement.

Fund Accounting

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds and account groups, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The various funds are grouped, in the combined financial statements in this report, into generic fund types in three broad fund categories as follows:

Governmental Funds

General Fund - The General Fund contains the records of the ordinary activities of the City that are not accounted for in another fund. General Fund activities are financed by revenue from general property taxes, state-shared revenue, and other sources.

Special Revenue Funds - Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of earmarked revenue or financing activities requiring separate accounting because of legal or regulatory provisions.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Debt Service Fund - The Debt Service Fund is used to account for the proceeds of principal, interest, and expenses in connection with certain long-term debt other than debt payable from the operations of an Enterprise Fund.

Proprietary Fund

Enterprise Fund - The Enterprise Fund is used to account for the results of operations that provide a service to citizens that is financed primarily by a user charge for the provision of that service.

Fiduciary Funds

Trust and Agency Funds - Trust and Agency Funds are used to account for assets held by the City in a trustee capacity or as an agent for individuals, organizations, other governments, or other funds. These include the Pension Retirement Systems Funds and the Agency Fund. The Pension Retirement Systems Funds are accounted for in the same manner as proprietary funds. The 26th District Court Division I Agency Fund is custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and does not involve the measurement of results of operations.

Basis of Accounting

The accrual basis of accounting is used by the Enterprise Fund and the Pension Retirement Systems Funds. All governmental funds and the component units utilize the modified accrual basis of accounting. Modifications in such method from the accrual basis are as follows:

- a. Property taxes and other revenue that are both measurable and available for use to finance operations of the City are recorded as revenue when earned. Other revenue is recorded when received.
 - Properties are assessed as of December 31 and the related property taxes are billed and become a lien on July 1 of the following year. These taxes are due on February 14 with the final collection date of February 28 before they are added to the county tax rolls.
- b. Noncurrent receivables, such as long-term loans, are recorded at full value and fund balance is reserved for the portion not available for use to finance operations as of year end.
- c. Interest on bonded indebtedness and other long-term debt is not recorded as an expenditure until its due date.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

- d. Payments for inventoriable types of supplies are recorded as expenditures at the time of purchase.
- e. Normally, expenditures are not divided between years by the recording of prepaid expenses. The prepaid expenses recorded in the General Fund represent advance payments on various insurance policies.
- f. The noncurrent portion of accumulated sick and vacation days, unemployment, and self-insured liabilities is reflected in the General Long-term Debt Account Group.

Fixed Assets and Long-term Liabilities - Fixed assets used in governmental fundtype operations are accounted for in the General Fixed Assets Account Group, rather than in the governmental funds. Such assets, which are recorded as expenditures at the time of purchase, do not include certain improvements such as lighting systems. No depreciation has been provided on general fixed assets.

All fixed assets are recorded at cost or, if donated, at their estimated fair value on the date donated.

Long-term liabilities expected to be financed from governmental funds are accounted for in the General Long-term Debt Account Group, not in the governmental funds.

The General Fixed Assets Account Group and the General Long-term Debt Account Group are not funds and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

Fixed assets and long-term liabilities relating to the Enterprise Fund are accounted for in that fund. Depreciation on such fixed assets is charged as an expense against the operations of the Enterprise Fund on a straight-line basis.

Cash Equivalents - For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the proprietary fund considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Real Estate Inventory - Real estate inventory in the component units is held for resale and is valued at the lower of cost of market.

Investments and Marketable Securities - Investments are reported at fair value, based on quoted market prices.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note I - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Significant Customers - The City has two significant customers, Great Lakes Steel Corporation and Detroit Edison Company that, when combined, represented approximately 59 percent of property tax revenue and 49 percent of water and sewage disposal revenue for the year ended June 30, 2003.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the noted amounts of revenue and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Memorandum-only Totals - The total data presented is the aggregate of the fund types and account groups and is presented for analysis purposes only. No consolidating or other eliminations were made in arriving at the totals; therefore, they do not present consolidated information.

Other accounting policies are disclosed in other notes to financial statements.

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments

The City's deposits and investments at June 30, 2003 are included on the balance sheet under the following classifications:

	Balance Sheet Classification							
	Cash and					Restricted		
	Cash			Marketable		Assets		
GASB Category 3	Equivalents		Securities			(Note 4)		
Deposits:								
General Fund	\$	3,476,306	\$	-	\$	=		
Water and Sewer Enterprise Fund		_		-		708,170		
General Employees' Retirement System		1,148,132		-		_		
Police and Fire Retirement System		1,902,042		-		_		
Agency Fund		69,665		-		-		
Investments			_	34,519,852				
Total	\$	6,596,145	\$	34,519,852	\$	708,170		



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Deposits

The above deposits were reflected in the accounts of the bank (without recognition of checks written but not yet cleared or of deposits in transit) at approximately \$6,500,000. Of that amount, up to \$600,000 was covered by federal depository insurance and the remainder was uninsured and uncollateralized. The City believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all bank deposits. As a result, the City evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits City funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Investments

The City is authorized by Michigan Public Act 20 of 1943 (as amended) to invest surplus monies (of nonpension funds) in U.S. bonds and notes, certain commercial paper, U.S. government repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances and mutual funds, and investment pools that are composed of authorized investment vehicles.

The General Employees' Retirement System and Policemen and Firemen Retirement System are authorized by Michigan Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, to invest in certain reverse repurchase agreements, stocks, diversified investment companies, annuity investment contracts, real estate leased to public entities, mortgages, debt or equity of certain small businesses, certain foreign and domestic state and local government obligations, and certain other specified investment vehicles.

The City's investments are categorized below to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the entity at June 30, 2003. Risk Category I includes those investments that meet any of the following criteria:

- a. Insured
- b. Registered
- c. Held by the City or its agent

Risk Categories 2 and 3 include investments that are neither insured nor registered. Category 2 includes investments that are held by the counterparty's trust department (or agent) in the City's name. Category 3 includes investments held by:

- a. The counterparty
- b. The counterparty's trust department (or agent) but not in the City's name

All investments held by the City at June 30, 2003 are Category 2.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

		Carrying Amount (Fair Value)		
General Employees' Retirement System:				
U.S. government securities	\$	2,857,495		
Common stock		6,777,864		
Foreign stocks		1,126,248		
Corporate bonds		172,729		
Policemen and Firemen Retirement System:				
U.S. government securities		33,776		
Common stock		17,196,896		
Corporate bonds		6,192,653		
Foreign bonds		157,520		
Mortgages		4,671		
Total	<u>\$</u>	34,519,852		

Included in the City's retirement systems' investments at June 30, 2003 are the following:

- Approximately \$16,000 of securities issued by the Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA). These investments are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government. The yields provided by these mortgagerelated securities historically have exceeded the yields on other types of U.S. government securities with comparable maturities, in large measure due to the potential for prepayment. Prepayment could result in difficulty in reinvesting the prepaid amounts in investments with comparable yields.
- Approximately \$1,725,000 of securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA). These investments are usually not backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government, but are generally considered to offer modest credit risks. The yields provided by these mortgage-related securities historically have exceeded the yields on other types of U.S. government securities with comparable maturities, in large measure due to the potential for prepayment. Prepayment could result in difficulty in reinvesting the prepaid amounts in investments with comparable yields.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (Continued)

Component Units - The cash of the City's component units consists solely of bank deposits. The deposits were reflected in the accounts of the bank (without recognition of checks written but not yet cleared or of deposits in transit) at approximately \$114,000, which was fully covered by federal depository insurance.

Note 3 - Interfund Receivables

The following are the interfund receivables at June 30, 2003:

General Fund:	
Major Street Fund	\$ 4,521
District Court - Agency Fund	20,255
Community Development Block Grant Fund	220,831
Policemen and Firemen Retirement System Fund	27,173
General Employees' Retirement System Fund	43,423
Enterprise Fund	971,979
Total General Fund	1,288,182
Special Revenue Funds:	
Refuse Fund - General Fund	634,416
Local Street Fund - General Fund	14,295
Library Fund - General Fund	266,507
Drug Enforcement Fund - General Fund	75,738
Total Special Revenue Funds	990,956
Debt Service Fund - General Fund	2,472,575
Fiduciary Funds - Policemen and Firemen Retirement System	
Fund - General Employees' Retirement System Fund	5,096
Total interfund receivables	<u>\$ 4,756,809</u>

^{*} The amount due from the Enterprise Fund is considered noncurrent and fund balance in the General Fund has been reserved for this amount.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 4 - Restricted Assets

Restricted assets at June 30, 2003 consist of the following:

Judgment levy collections	\$	708,170
Assets held at Wayne County for water and sewer line construction	_	4,210,391
Total assets restricted		4,918,561
Less current liabilities payable from restricted assets	_	(1,463,651)
Net assets restricted	\$	3,454,910

Assets held at Wayne County totaling \$4,210,391 result from the issuance of debt. Retained earnings have been reserved in the amount of \$708,170.

Note 5 - Capital Assets

A summary of changes in general fixed assets follows:

Balance							Balance
	July 1, 2002		Additions		Deletions	Ju	ne 30, 2003
Land and improvements	\$	2,847,836	\$	206,121	\$ (156,484)	\$	2,897,473
Buildings and structures		4,979,665		56,438	(40,000)		4,996,103
Pavement		2,489,212		-	-		2,489,212
Equipment		3,847,902		202,462	(175,000)		3,875,364
Total general fixed assets	\$	14,164,615	\$	465,021	<u>\$ (371,484)</u>	\$	14,258,152

A summary of Enterprise Fund fixed assets at June 30, 2003 is as follows:

		Depreciable		
	Amount	Life - Years		
Land	\$ 150,378	-		
Water and sewer mains and basins	47,693,381	50		
Pumping station	1,530,802	3-50		
Equipment and meters	968,170	5-15		
Vehicles	355,877	4		
Total cost	50,698,608			
Less accumulated depreciation	(5,937,777)			
Net carrying amount	\$44,760,831			



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 6 - Long-term Debt

Outstanding Debt

The following is a summary of the debt outstanding of the City of River Rouge at June 30, 2003:

				Principal O	utstanding
	Number of		Maturing		Long-term
	Issues	Interest Rate	Through	Enterprise Fund	Debt
Lease obligations	3	7.00%	2006	\$ 124,235	\$ -
State Revolving Fund Loan - Downriver	12	2.00%-2.25%	2022	3,225,723	-
Downriver Sewage Disposal System Bonds -					
Series A & B	1	6.31%	2004	6,504	-
State Revolving Fund - Loan - CSO	1	2.25%	2019	4,841,599	-
Wayne County CSO Revenue Bonds	1	4.60%-5.375%	2018	13,535,000	-
Compensated absences (I)	-	-	-	-	1,271,615
Lawsuits and asserted claims (2)	-	-	-	-	150,000
Self-funded medical claims (3)	-	-	-	-	110,000
Fiscal stabilization bonds (4)	1	2.0%-5.00%	2033		6,805,000
Total long-term debt				\$ 21,733,061	\$ 8,336,615

- (1) The accumulated employee benefits represent the estimated liability to be paid governmental fund-type employees under the City's sick and vacation pay policy, net of the portion that is estimated will be paid currently (which has been recorded as a liability in the General Fund). Under the City's policy, employees earn sick and vacation time based on time of service with the City.
- (2) The lawsuits and asserted claims represent an estimate by City management and legal counsel of the City's probable liability as of June 30, 2003 in connection with certain lawsuits currently pending.
- (3) The self-funded medical claims represent an estimate by City management of the City's probable liability as of June 30, 2003 in connection with certain medical claims currently pending.
- (4) Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the fiscal stabilization bonds, the City's future state-shared revenue has been pledged in connection with this obligation.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 6 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

Changes in Long-term Debt

The following is a summary of debt transactions of the City for the year ended June 30, 2003:

	General		Revenue			
		Obligations	Bonds			Total
Balance - July 1, 2002	\$	9,737,141	\$	14,135,000	\$	23,872,141
Increase in lawsuits and asserted claims		18,000		-		18,000
Decrease in compensated absences and claims		(16,350)		-		(16,350)
Increase in self-funded medical claims		110,000		-		110,000
Debt retired		(702,026)		(600,000)		(1,302,026)
Debt defeased		(775,000)		-		(775,000)
Debt issued:		, ,				,
General Fund		6,805,000		-		6,805,000
Water & Sewer - State Revolving Fund	_	1,357,911	_	<u>-</u>	_	1,357,911
Balance - June 30, 2003	\$	16,534,676	\$	13,535,000	\$	30,069,676

Debt Service Requirements

The annual requirements to service all debt outstanding as of June 30, 2003 (excluding capital leases, compensated absences, lawsuits, and asserted claims), including both principal and interest, are as follows:

			Debt Servi								
	Governmental										
Years Ending			Fund	Proprietary							
June 30	_		Resources		Fund		Total				
2004		\$	428,983	\$	1,929,937	\$	2,358,920				
2005			426,383		1,917,662		2,344,045				
2006			428,733		1,920,346		2,349,079				
2007			425,830		1,919,576		2,345,406				
2008			427,388		1,917,077		2,344,465				
Remaining years			10,575,544		19,582,665		30,158,209				
	Total	\$	12,712,861	\$	29,187,263	\$	41,900,124				



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 6 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

Capital Leases

Future minimum lease payments under capital leases, together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2003, are as follows:

Years Ending		Pr	oprietary
June 30			Fund
2004		\$	47,340
2005			47,340
2006			47,340
	Total minimum lease payments		142,020
	Less amount representing interest	_	(17,785)
	Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$	124,235

Interest

Total interest incurred for the City for the year approximated \$1,231,000.

Defeased Debt

During the year, the City issued \$6,805,000 in fiscal stabilization bonds with an average interest rate of 3.50 percent, of which \$805,000 was issued to defease debt. The net proceeds from this issue were \$6,650,742, of which \$778,889 (after payment of \$26,111 in underwriting fees, original issue discount, and other issuance costs) was used to advance refund \$775,000 of outstanding principal on the 1991 Fiscal Stabilization Bonds with an average interest rate of 7.25 percent. As a result, the 1991 bonds are considered to be defeased and the liability for the bonds has been removed from the General Long-term Debt Account Group. The advance refunding reduced total debt service payments over the next six years by approximately \$124,000, which represents an economic gain of approximately \$89,000.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 6 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

No Commitment Debt

Excluded from the General Long-term Debt Account Group are bonds issued under the Industrial Development Revenue Bond Act of 1963, as amended, which authorizes municipalities to acquire and lease industrial sites, buildings, and equipment. Under terms of the leases, the lessees are required to make payments to the bond-paying agents equal to the bond principal and interest payments as they come due. These bonds are not a liability of the City since they are payable solely from the net revenue derived from the respective leases. After these bonds are issued, all financial activity is taken over by the paying agent.

The bonds and related lease contracts are not reflected in the City's financial statements. Information regarding the status of each bond issue, including possible default, must be obtained from the paying agent or other knowledgeable source.

Component Units

Outstanding Debt

The following is a summary of the debt outstanding of the Economic Development Corporation of the City of River Rouge at June 30, 2003:

	Balance		Debt	Balance	
General Obligation Bonds	July 1, 2002	Additions	Retired	June 30, 2003	
Mortgage loan, issued in the amount of \$130,000. Payments of \$1,417, including interest at 10.25 percent, are due monthly, beginning March 15, 2000, with a final balloon payment due on February 15, 2005	\$ 120,791	\$ -	\$ (4,667)	\$ 116,124	
Land contract agreement, issued in the amount of \$60,301. Payments of \$1,500, including interest at 8 percent, are due monthly, beginning on April 15, 2000, with a final payment due on February 15, 2004	27,938		(16,356)	11,582	
Total long-term debt	\$ 148,729	\$ -	\$ (21,023)	\$ 127,706	



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 6 - Long-term Debt (Continued)

Debt Service Requirements

The annual requirements to service all debt outstanding as of June 30, 2003, including both principal and interest, are as follows:

Years Ending				
June 30	Amount			
2004		\$	29,003	
2005			118,611	
	Total	\$	147,614	

<u>Interest</u>

Total interest incurred for the Economic Development Corporation for the year approximated \$14,000.

Note 7 - Budget Information

The annual budget is prepared by the City management and adopted by the City Council; subsequent amendments are approved by the City Council. Unexpended appropriations lapse at year end; encumbrances are not included as expenditures. The amount of encumbrances outstanding at June 30, 2003 has not been calculated. During the current year, the budget was amended in a legally permissible manner.

The budget has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, with the following exceptions:

- Operating transfers, proceeds of debt issued, and payments to defease debt have been included in the "revenue" and "expenditures" categories, rather than as "other financing sources (uses)."
- Reimbursements from other funds have been included in revenue, rather than as a reduction to expenditures.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 7 - Budget Information (Continued)

The budget statement (combined statement of revenue, expenditures, and changes in fund balances (deficit) - budget and actual - General and Special Revenue Fund types) is presented on the same basis of accounting used in preparing the adopted budget. Following is a reconciliation of the budget statement to the operating statement (combined statement of revenue, expenditures, and changes in fund balances (deficit) - all governmental fund types and component units):

	General Fund				Special Revenue Funds			
	Total Revenue		Total Expenditures		Total Revenue		Total Expenditures	
Amounts per operating statement	\$	9,531,502	\$	12,762,104	\$	1,672,667	\$	2,153,933
Operating transfers		-		2,702,312		125,571		114,607
Proceeds from bond issuance		6,805,000		-		-		-
Defeased debt recorded as debt service		-		775,000		-		-
Reimbursements from other funds								
recorded as revenue	_	225,098	_	225,098	_		_	
Amounts per budget statement	\$	16,561,600	\$	16,464,514	\$	1,798,238	\$	2,268,540

The budget has been adopted on an activity basis; expenditures at this level in excess of amounts budgeted are a violation of Michigan law. A comparison of actual results of the General Fund expenditure budget as adopted by the City Council is included in the additional information and a comparison of actual results of the General Fund revenue budget is included in the general purpose financial statements. This comparison includes expenditure budget overruns. A comparison of actual results of operations to the Special Revenue Funds budget as adopted by the City Council is available at the clerk's office for inspection.

An overview of significant expenditure budget overruns is as follows:

	Budget			Actual		
General Fund:						
General government	\$	2,312,987	\$	2,442,400		
Public safety		3,935,674		3,942,889		
Public services		1,033,027		1,285,282		
Culture and recreation		247,714		263,210		
Other functions		4,460,551		4,473,015		
Transfers to other funds		67,050		2,633,681		
Special Revenue Funds:						
Public services		1,039,875		1,050,485		
Transfers to other funds		91,250		114,607		
Community development		217,000		304,883		



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 7 - Budget Information (Continued)

At June 30, 2003 the General Fund had a fund deficit of \$1,864,896 resulting primarily from the deferral of property tax revenue not received within 60 days of year end. See Note 17 for additional information regarding delinquent property tax balances.

At June 30, 2003, the Community Development Block Grant fund had a deficit of \$83,557. The deficit is the result of deferring federal grant revenue, for which grant reimbursements were not received within 60 days of year end. The deficit was eliminated through the receipt of reimbursement requests subsequent to year end.

Note 8 - Pension Plan

General Employees' Pension Plan

Plan Description - The General Employees' Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that is administered by the City of River Rouge General Employees' Retirement System (the "System"); this plan covers most full-time general employees of the City hired prior to January I, 1999. The System provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. At June 30, 2002, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of 115 retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them, and 66 current active employees. The plan does not issue a separate financial report.

Contributions - Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions to the plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Please refer to Note I for further significant accounting policies.

The obligation to contribute to and maintain the System for these employees was established by negotiation with the City's competitive bargaining units and requires a contribution from the employees of 3 percent for General and Housing Local 1917 and 6.3 percent for all others. The funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates. Administrative costs of the plan are financed through investment earnings.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 8 - Pension Plan (Continued)

Annual Pension Cost - For the year ended June 30, 2003, the City's annual pension cost of \$624,012 for the plan was equal to the City's required and actual contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at June 30, 2001, using the entry actual age cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) a 7.5 percent investment rate of return, (b) projected salary increases of 5.5 percent per year, and (c) .2 percent to 4.0 percent additional projected salary increases depending on age and seniority/merit. Both (a) and (b) include an inflation component of 5.5 percent. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period is 14 years.

Reserves - As of June 30, 2003, the balances in the plan's reserves are as follows:

Legally required reserves - Employee contributions	\$ 1,705,333
Additional reserves:	
Reserve for retiree benefit payments	14,641,029
Reserve for employer contributions	(4,246,819)
Total reserves	\$ 12,099,543

Trend Information

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30						
	2001			2002	2003		
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$	521,510	\$	590,228	\$	624,012	
Percent of APC contributed	•	100%	Ψ.	100%	Ψ	100%	
Net pension obligation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 8 - Pension Plan (Continued)

Policemen and Firemen Retirement System

Plan Description - The Policemen and Firemen Retirement System is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that is administered by the City of River Rouge Policemen and Firemen Retirement System; this plan covers certain police and fire employees of the City hired prior to January I, 1999. The System provides retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries. At June 30, 2002, the date of the most recent actuarial valuation, membership consisted of 89 retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits and terminated employees entitled to benefits but not yet receiving them, and 48 current active employees. The plan does not issue a separate financial report.

Contributions - Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Employer contributions to the plan are recognized when due and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. Please refer to Note I for further significant accounting policies.

The obligation to contribute to and maintain the System for these employees was established by negotiation with the City's competitive bargaining units and requires a contribution from the employees of 5 percent. The funding policy provides for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates. Administrative costs of the plan are financed through investment earnings.

Annual Pension Cost - For the year ended June 30, 2003, the City's annual pension cost of \$387,491 for the plan was equal to the City's required and actual contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at June 30, 2001, using the entry actual age cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions include (a) a 7.5 percent investment rate of return, (b) projected salary increases of 5 percent per year, and (c) .2 percent to 4.0 percent additional projected salary increases depending on age and seniority/merit. Both (a) and (b) include an inflation component of 4.5 percent. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The remaining amortization period is 19 years.

Effective December 2001, significant actuarial assumptions were revised. Revisions include projected salary increases of 4.5 percent and a reduction to the inflation component to 4.5 percent. The actuarial cost method was also changed to the aggregate cost method. The above changes are effective for the actuarial valuation performed as of June 30, 2002.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 8 - Pension Plan (Continued)

Reserves - As of June 30, 2003, the balances in the plan's reserves are as follows:

Legally required reserves - Employee contributions	\$ 1,942,480
Additional reserves:	
Reserve for retiree benefit payments	22,726,951
Reserve for employer contributions	883,071
Total reserves	\$ 25,552,502

Trend Information

		Fiscal Year Ended June 30				
	2001			2002		2003
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$	524,143	¢	428,067	\$	387,491
Percent of APC contributed	Ψ	100%	Ф	100%	Ф	100%
Net pension obligation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

Note 9 - Defined Contribution Pension Plan

The City established a defined contribution pension plan under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The plan was approved by the police officers in October 1998 and firefighters in December 1998 and covers all police officer and fire fighter members hired on or after January I, 1999. The general employees approved the plan in August 1999; the plan covers all members hired on or after September I, 1999.

In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. As established by the City of River Rouge through collective bargaining agreements, the City and employees contribute a percentage of employees' earnings as follows:

	Employer	Employee
	Contribution	Contribution
Police	9%	5%
Fire	9%	5%
General	9%	5%



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 9 - Defined Contribution Pension Plan (Continued)

The employee contribution percentages noted above represent the minimum required contribution. Employees are permitted to contribute additional amounts up to the maximum allowed by law.

In accordance with the above requirements, the City expensed \$51,465 during the current year as follows:

	Employer
	Contribution
Police Fire General	\$ 11,837 18,527 21,101
Total	\$ 51,465

Note 10 - Postemployment Benefits

The City provides health care benefits to all full-time employees upon retirement in accordance with labor contracts. Currently, 204 retirees or surviving spouses are eligible. The City includes pre-Medicare retirees and their dependents in its insured health care plan, with no contribution required by the participants. The City purchases Medicare supplemental insurance for retirees eligible for Medicare. During the year ended June 30, 2003, the amount paid for postemployment health care benefits approximated \$989,000.

Note II - Risk Management

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The City purchased commercial insurance for property loss claims and participated in the Michigan Municipal League (risk pool) for claims relating to workers' compensation claims prior to June 30, 1991. The Michigan Municipal League risk pool program operates as a common risk-sharing management program for local units of government in Michigan; member premiums are used to purchase commercial excess insurance coverage and to pay member claims in excess of deductible amounts.



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note II - Risk Management (Continued)

The City was uninsured for hospitalization, general liability, and workers' compensation claims for the period from June 30, 1991 to December 1, 1995. From December 1, 1995 through December 1, 2001, the City participated in the Michigan Municipal League for workers' compensation, general liability, and property loss. Effective December 1, 2001, only workers' compensation is covered by the League.

On December 1, 2001, the City joined the Michigan Municipal Risk Management Authority for general liability and property loss coverage. This risk pool program operates as a claims servicing pool for amounts up to member retention limits, and operates as a common risk-sharing management program for losses in excess of member retention amounts. Although premiums are paid annually to the Authority that the Authority uses to pay claims up to the retention limits, the ultimate liability for those claims remains with the City.

The City estimates the liability for hospitalization, workers' compensation, and general liability claims that have been incurred through the end of the fiscal year, including both those claims that have been reported as well as those that have not yet been reported.

These estimates are recorded in the General Long-term Debt Account Group and the General Fund. Changes in the estimated liability were as follows:

			General
	Ho	spitalization	 Liability
Estimated liability - July 1, 2001	\$	350,000	\$ 310,695
Estimated claims incurred - Including changes in estimates		1,795,688	(121,172)
Claim payments		(1,795,688)	 (29,828)
Estimated liability - June 30, 2002		350,000	159,695
Estimated claims incurred - Including changes in estimates		2,189,644 (2,079,644)	59,516 (41,516)
Claim payments		(2,077,044)	 (41,516)
Estimated liability - June 30, 2003	\$	460,000	\$ 177,695



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note II - Risk Management (Continued)

These liabilities are recorded in the fund and account group as follows:

			General
	Hos	pitalization	 Liability
General Fund General Long-term Debt Account Group	\$	350,000 110,000	\$ 27,695 150,000
Total	\$	460,000	\$ 177,695

Note 12 - Contingencies

Lawsuits and Asserted Claims

The City is a defendant in several lawsuits and asserted claims. A provision of \$150,000 has been made in the General Long-term Debt Account Group for the estimated liability for certain of these lawsuits (see also Note 11). Management and legal counsel believe the City's ultimate exposure with respect to the remaining actions is not determinable. No provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for potential liabilities, if any, which may arise from these remaining suits and asserted claims.

Note 13 - Commitment

In August 1995, the City contracted with Wayne County to manage all aspects of the construction of a CSO basin. The agreement called for the City to turn over all bond, grant, and State Revolving Fund Loan proceeds to the County sufficient to cover all costs. During 2002, the City was notified by Wayne County that all funds originally remitted by the City had been disbursed and approximately \$6,000,000 in additional funds would be needed to complete the City's requirements under the original court order noted above. In July 2003, the City reached settlement agreements with Wayne County and the primary contractor. The three remaining items that remain unresolved in the original court order are as follows:



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 13 - Commitment (Continued)

- I. A 60-inch relief sewer at the Coolidge viaduct was required under the original order. Wayne County, as the project manager, determined this sewer was not necessary. The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) has determined this sewer may still be required. The City and the County are in the process of obtaining a grant to fund a water sampling study to prove to MDEQ that construction of this sewer is no longer necessary since the construction on the CSO basin. If it is determined this sewer is necessary, the estimated cost of \$4,000,000 would have to be paid by the City's Enterprise Fund. No funds are available currently for this project and no amounts have been recorded in the financial statements at June 30, 2003.
- 2. MDEQ is requiring the City to have an alternate power source to power all five motors at the CSO basin. The City currently has sufficient power sources to power two of the motors. Wayne County and the City are working with MDEQ and the court to resolve this issue. If the City is required to have an alternate power source for all five motors, the additional annual operating cost to the Enterprise Fund is estimated at \$500,000.
- 3. MDEQ is requiring the repair of a 66-inch sewer on Dwight Street that is estimated to cost \$1,000,000. Wayne County has agreed to provide the City a grant through the National Wet Weather Demonstration Grant program sufficient to cover the costs of this repair.

Note 14 - Contributed Capital

The following is an analysis of contributed capital by source:

		Current Year	
	Balance	Additions and	Balance
	July 1, 2002	Adjustments	June 30, 2003
Federal grants Other	\$ 13,316,163 168,179	\$ - -	\$ 13,316,163 168,179
Total	13,484,342	-	13,484,342
Depreciation charged to contributed capital		(264,345)	(264,345)
Net contributed capital	\$ 13,484,342	\$ (264,345)	\$ 13,219,997



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 15 - Designated and Reserved Fund Balances/Retained Earnings

Fund balance of the Special Revenue Funds has been designated for subsequent year's budgeted expenditures in excess of revenue for \$5,297. Fund balances and retained earnings have been reserved for the following purposes:

	General	Debt Service		Debt Service		t Service Enterprise		Trust and		Component	
	 Fund		Fund		Fund	nd Agency Fund		Units			
Reserved for debt service	\$ -	\$	2,472,575	\$	708,170	\$	-	\$	-		
Reserved for prepaid expenditures	331,666		-		-		-		-		
Reserved for noncurrent											
receivable	-		-		-		-		7,468		
Reserved for real estate											
inventory	-		-		-		-		215,000		
Reserved for noncurrent											
interfund receivable	971,979		-		-		-		-		
Reserved for pension benefits	 -	_				37,6	652,045				
Total	\$ 1,303,645	\$	2,472,575	\$	708,170	\$ 37,6	652,045	\$	222,468		

Note 16 - Construction Code Fees

The City oversees building construction in accordance with the State's Construction Code Act, including inspection of building construction and renovation to ensure compliance with the building codes. The City charges fees for these services. The law requires that collection of these fees be used only for construction code costs, including an allocation of estimated overhead costs. A summary of the current year activity and the cumulative shortfall generated since January I, 2000 is as follows:

Cumulative shortfall at July 1, 2002		\$ (158,297)
Current year building permit revenue		190,182
Related expenses: Direct costs Estimated indirect costs	\$ 264,770 81,600	
Total construction code expenses		 346,370
Cumulative shortfall at June 30, 2003		\$ (314,485)



Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2003

Note 17 - Subsequent Event

In March 2002, the City's largest taxpayer, National Steel - Great Lakes Division (National), filed for Chapter II bankruptcy. The bankruptcy filing resulted in the City not receiving National's third installment of their 2001 property tax bills and no payments on any of their 2002 tax bills. The total unpaid balance at March I, 2003 totaled approximately \$7,480,000 (including penalty and interest) and includes all City levies (General, Refuse, and Economic Development) as well as two court-ordered judgment levies. In May 2003, National made a partial payment on the outstanding personal property and Act 198 tax bill balances totaling approximately \$1,940,000. In October 2003, the City and National reached a settlement on the outstanding property tax balances. The City agreed to waive the penalty on the outstanding balances and also agreed to reduce the outstanding principal amount due on the tax bills by 28 percent and 35 percent for the tax years 2002 and 2001, respectively. The agreement resulted in a decrease in the outstanding balance of approximately \$2,380,000. The remaining balance of approximately \$3,160,000 was paid to the City during October 2003.

In 2003, United States Steel (US Steel) purchased the bankrupt National Steel Corporation. US Steel has appealed their 2003 tax assessment based on their purchase price allocation. US Steel is seeking a reduction of approximately 50 percent of the taxable valuation on the 2003 tax rolls. If US Steel were successful in their appeal, the City would have to refund approximately \$1,400,000 from the City levies (General, Refuse, and Economic Development) and approximately \$500,000 from the court-ordered judgment levies collected during their year ending June 30, 2004. The City contends the taxable value assigned to the US Steel properties is accurate. The outcome of the tax appeal is undeterminable at this time and only affects the City's year ending June 30, 2004 and subsequent years' revenue.



Additional Information



Retirement System Required Supplementary Information Policemen and Firemen Retirement System Schedule of Funding Progress

		Actuarial	Unfunded			UAAL as a
Actuarial	Actuarial Value	Accrued Liability	(Overfunded)	Funded Ratio	Covered	Percentage
Valuation	of Assets	(AAL)	AAL (UAAL)	(Percent)	Payroll	of Covered
Date	(a)	(b)	(b-a)	(a/b)	(c)	Payroll
06/30/96	\$ 23,555,152	\$ 27,116,532	\$ 3,561,380	86.9	\$ 2,875,918	123.8
06/30/97	25,573,216	27,580,407	2,007,191	92.7	2,756,785	72.8
06/30/98	28,282,492	27,482,273	(800,219)	102.9	2,685,729	(29.8)
06/30/99	30,975,123	28,118,267	(2,856,856)	110.2	3,005,802	(95.1)
06/30/00	32,663,571	29,370,588	(3,292,983)	111.2	3,094,560	(106.4)
06/30/01	33,089,914	30,007,692	(3,082,222)	110.3	3,250,571	(94.8)
06/30/02	31,644,156	35,068,838	3,424,682	90.2	2,801,663	122.2

Schedule of Employer Contributions

	Annual	
Year Ended	Required	Percentage
June 30	Contribution	Contributed
1997	\$ 1,098,388	100
1998	799,425	100
1999	787,203	100
2000	673,440	100
2001	524,143	100
2002	428,067	100
2003	387,491	100

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of June 30, 2002, the latest actuarial valuation, follows:

Actuarial cost method	Aggregate
Asset valuation method	Closed four-year smoothed market
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.5%
Projected salary increases *	4.7%-8.5%
*Includes inflation at	4.5%
Cost of living adjustments	None



Retirement System Required Supplementary Information General Employees' Retirement System Schedule of Funding Progress

				Actuarial						UAAL as a
Actuarial	Α	ctuarial Value	Acc	crued Liability	Un	funded AAL	Funded Ratio		Covered	Percentage
Valuation		of Assets		(AAL)		(UAAL)	(Percent)		Payroll	of Covered
Date		(a)		(b)		(b-a)	(a/b)		(c)	Payroll
	_				_			_		
06/30/96	\$	13,273,236	\$	20,632,523	\$	7,359,287	64.3	\$	1,887,114	390.0
06/30/97		13,684,781		20,512,520		6,827,739	66.7		2,098,141	325.4
06/30/98		14,884,205		20,242,772		5,358,567	73.5		1,981,738	270.4
06/30/99		15,964,764		20,356,410		4,391,646	78.4		1,731,438	253.6
06/30/00		16,111,076		20,918,580		4,807,504	77.0		1,786,409	269.1
06/30/01		16,009,970		20,666,344		4,656,374	77.5		1,814,229	256.7
06/30/02		15,194,970		21,228,089		6,033,119	71.6		1,862,030	324.0

Schedule of Employer Contributions

	Annual	
Year Ended	Required	Percentage
June 30	Contribution	Contributed
1997	\$ 710,206	100
1998	666,156	100
1999	599,428	100
2000	582,368	100
2001	521,510	100
2002	590,228	100
2003	624,012	100

The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of June 30, 2002, the latest actuarial valuation, follows:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age					
Amortization method	Level percent, closed					
Remaining amortization period	14 years					
Asset valuation method	Closed four-year smoothed market					
Actuarial assumptions: Investment rate of return Projected salary increases* *Includes inflation at Cost of living adjustments	7.50% 5.7%-9.5% 5.5% None					



General Fund Schedule of Expenditures - Budget and Actual Year Ended June 30, 2003

		Current Year						
						Variance		
	Prior Year					Favorable		
	Actual		Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)		
General Government								
Legislative	\$ 37,840	\$	51,000	\$	51,000	\$ -		
26th District Court	310,923		293,047		296,031	(2,984)		
Executive and financial management	109,973		173,219		166,126	7,093		
Elections	8,463		43,700		41,450	2,250		
Assessment	91,482		86,800		92,520	(5,720)		
City attorney and legal claims	703,807		884,984		952,081	(67,097)		
City Clerk and photostating	109,361		116,652		114,786	1,866		
Personnel and purchasing	142,281		142,303		145,048	(2,745)		
City Treasurer	110,488		114,752		117,314	(2,562)		
Data processing	9,838		10,100		10,062	38		
Building maintenance	369,665		396,430		455,982	(59,552)		
Total general government	2,004,121		2,312,987		2,442,400	(129,413)		
Public Safety								
Police department	2,615,187		2,354,156		2,346,853	7,303		
Fire department	1,670,412		1,581,518		1,596,036	(14,518)		
Total public safety	4,285,599		3,935,674		3,942,889	(7,215)		
Public Services								
Building Department	248,137		238,217		264,770	(26,553)		
Department of Public Works	722,889		420,018		629,926	(209,908)		
Neighborhood improvement program	273,865		200,000		206,121	(6,121)		
Senior Center and City Planner	314,042		174,792		184,465	(9,673)		
Total public services	1,558,933		1,033,027		1,285,282	(252,255)		
Street Lighting	223,828		222,813		223,184	(371)		
Culture and Recreation	344,123		247,714		263,210	(15,496)		
Other Functions								
General functions and other	287,513		450,000		447,000	3,000		
Insurance	2,366,787		2,843,551		2,829,026	14,525		
Pension programs	934,199		962,000		970,681	(8,681)		
Direct employee fringe costs	168,497	·	205,000		226,308	(21,308)		
Total other functions	3,756,996		4,460,551		4,473,015	(12,464)		
Debt Service	83,694		1,132,222		1,132,222	-		
Transfers to Other Funds	224,227		67,050		2,633,681	(2,566,631)		
Transfers to Component Units	70,313		68,952		68,631	321		
Total expenditures	\$ 12,551,834	<u>\$</u>	13,480,990	\$	16,464,514	\$ (2,983,524)		



	<u> </u>	Major Highway	<u> </u>	Local lighway
Assets				
Receivables:				
Due from State of Michigan	\$	62,861	\$	24,365
Due from Wayne County		-		-
Due from General Fund				14,295
Total assets	\$	62,861	\$	38,660
Liabilities and Fund Balances (Deficit)				
Liabilities				
Vouchers payable	\$	36,801	\$	38,660
Deferred revenue		-		-
Due to General Fund		4,521		
Total liabilities		41,322		38,660
Fund Balances (Deficit) - Unreserved				
Designated		-		-
Undesignated		21,539		
Total fund balances (deficit)		21,539		
Total liabilities and fund balances (deficit)	\$	62,861	\$	38,660

Special Revenue Funds Combining Balance Sheet June 30, 2003

	Refuse	Drug efuse Enforcement Library				De	ommunity velopment ock Grant	Total		
\$	- - 634,416	\$	- - 75,738	\$	- - 266,507	\$	- 225,506 -	\$	87,226 225,506 990,956	
<u>\$</u>	634,416	<u>\$</u>	75,738	<u>\$</u>	266,507	<u>\$</u>	225,506	<u>\$</u>	1,303,688	
\$	62,012	\$	1,508	\$	142,475	\$	4,675	\$	286,131	
	173,912		-		34,782		83,557		292,251	
			-			_	220,831		225,352	
	235,924		1,508		177,257		309,063		803,734	
	-		-		5,297		-		5,297	
	398,492		74,230		83,953		(83,557)		494,657	
	398,492		74,230		89,250		(83,557)		499,954	
\$	634,416	\$	75,738	\$	266,507	\$	225,506	\$	1,303,688	



	Major Highway			Local Highway		
Revenue						
Property taxes	\$	-	\$	-		
Federal grant		-		-		
State of Michigan - Gas and weight tax		367,559		139,027		
Interest income		-		-		
Other revenue						
Total revenue		367,559		139,027		
Expenditures						
Refuse charges		-		-		
Street maintenance and snow removal		188,731		224,156		
Administration		36,756		13,903		
Repairs and maintenance		28,643		18,201		
Drug enforcement		-		-		
Library		-		-		
Home program		-		-		
Community development expenditures						
Total expenditures		254,130		256,260		
Excess of Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures		113,429		(117,233)		
Other Financing Sources (Uses)						
Operating transfers in		-		117,233		
Operating transfers out		(91,890)				
Total other financing sources (uses)		(91,890)		117,233		
Excess of Revenue and Other Financing Sources Over (Under) Expenditures and Other Uses		21,539		-		
Fund Balances (Deficit) - July 1, 2002						
Fund Balances (Deficit) - June 30, 2003	\$	21,539	\$			

Special Revenue Funds Combining Statement of Revenue, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances (Deficit) Year Ended June 30, 2003

	Community								
		Drug		Development					
	Refuse	Enforcement	Library	Block Grant	Total				
\$	718,891	\$ -	\$ 143,77	9 \$ -	\$ 862,670				
	-	40,066	-	222,782	262,848				
	-	-	-	-	506,586				
	7,991	890	3,30	2 -	12,183				
		3,220	25,16	0	28,380				
	726,882	44,176	172,24	1 222,782	1,672,667				
	1,050,485	-	-	-	1,050,485				
	-	-	-	-	412,887				
	-	-	-	5,550	56,209				
	-	-	-	-	46,844				
	-	72,124	-	-	72,124				
	-	-	216,05	I -	216,051				
	-	-	-	41,288	41,288				
	<u>-</u>			258,045	258,045				
	1,050,485	72,124	216,05	<u> </u>	2,153,933				
	(323,603)	(27,948)	(43,81	0) (82,101)	(481,266)				
	_	_	_	8,338	125,571				
	(18,933)		(3,78		(114,607)				
	(18,933)		(3,78	4)8,338	10,964				
	(342,536)	(27,948)	(47,59	4) (73,763)	(470,302)				
_	741,028	102,178	136,84	4 (9,794)	970,256				
\$	398,492	\$ 74,230	\$ 89,25						



Trust and Agency Funds Combining Balance Sheet June 30, 2003

	Agency Fund - 26th District Court Division I		Trust Funds - Retirement Systems			Total
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents Marketable securities Receivables:	\$	69,665 -	\$	3,050,174 34,519,852	\$	3,119,839 34,519,852
Due from other funds		-		5,096		5,096
Other				152,615		152,615
Total assets	\$	69,665	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>87,727,737</u>	<u>\$</u>	37,797,402
Liabilities and Fund Balances						
Liabilities						
Due to other governmental units	\$	2,044	\$	-	\$	2,044
Due to other funds		20,255		75,692		95,947
Deposits and other liabilities		47,366				47,366
Total liabilities		69,665		75,692		145,357
Fund Balances - Reserved for retirement funds				37,652,045		37,652,045
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	69,665	\$ 3	37,727,737	\$	37,797,402



Trust Funds Pension Retirement Systems Combining Balance Sheet June 30, 2003

		General Policemen Employees' and Firemen Retirement Retirement System System				Total
Assets						
Cash and cash equivalents Marketable securities Receivables: Due from other funds Other	\$	1,148,132 10,934,336 - 65,594	\$	1,902,042 23,585,516 5,096 87,021	\$	3,050,174 34,519,852 5,096 152,615
Total assets	<u>\$</u>	12,148,062	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	37,727,737
Liabilities and Fund Balances						
Liabilities - Due to other funds	\$	48,519	\$	27,173	\$	75,692
Fund Balances - Reserved for retirement funds		12,099,543		25,552,502		37,652,045
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	12,148,062	\$	25,579,675	\$	37,727,737



Trust Funds - Pension Retirement Systems Combining Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets Year Ended June 30, 2003

	General		P	olicemen and			
	Employees'			Firemen			
	R	Retirement Retirement					
	System			System		Total	
Additions							
Investment income (loss):							
Interest and dividends	\$	322,037	\$	774,300	\$	1,096,337	
Net depreciation in fair value of investments		(174,465)		(12,401)		(186,866)	
Mortgage repayments and other		14,316		51,077		65,393	
Net investment income		161,888		812,976		974,864	
Contributions:							
Employer		624,012		387,491		1,011,503	
Employees		120,326		212,791		333,117	
Total additions		906,226		1,413,258		2,319,484	
Deductions							
Benefit payments		1,812,791	2,924,752			4,737,543	
Administrative expenses		142,709		304,928		447,637	
Total deductions		1,955,500		3,229,680		5,185,180	
Net Decrease in Net Assets Held in Trust for							
Pension Benefits		(1,049,274)		(1,816,422)		(2,865,696)	
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension Benefits							
Beginning of year		13,148,817		27,368,924		40,517,741	
End of year	\$ I	2,099,543	\$ 25,552,502		\$:	37,652,045	



Schedule of Bonded Indebtedness June 30, 2003

	Interest		Amount of -			Principal Ou		anding
	Rate	Date of		Annual		June		
Description	(Percent)	Maturity		1 aturity		2003		2002
State Revolving Fund Loan - Do	ownriver							
Date of issue - July 1996 (3 iss	ues)							
Amount of issue - \$2,193,579								
	2.25	10/01/02	\$	94,167	\$	-	\$	94,167
	2.25	10/01/03		96,413		96,413		96,413
	2.25	10/01/04		98,632		98,632		98,632
	2.25	10/01/05		100,857		100,857		100,857
	2.25	10/01/06		103,072		103,072		103,072
	2.25	10/01/07		105,498		105,498		105,498
	2.25	10/01/08		107,976		107,976		107,976
	2.25	10/01/09		110,170		110,170		110,170
	2.25	10/01/10		112,830		112,830		112,830
	2.25	10/01/11		115,513		115,513		115,513
	2.25	10/01/12		117,967		117,967		117,967
	2.25	10/01/13		120,832		120,832		120,832
	2.25	10/01/14		123,284		123,284		123,284
	2.25	10/01/15		126,383		126,383		126,383
	2.25	10/01/16		129,091		129,091		129,091
	2.25	10/01/17		128,253		128,253		128,253
	2.25	10/01/18		125,764		125,764		125,764
Total						1,822,535		1,916,702



	Interest		Amount of	Principal C	Outstanding
	Rate	Date of	Annual	June	e 30
Description	(Percent)	Maturity	Maturity	2003	2002
State Revolving Fund Loan - Do	ownriver (C	ontinued)			
Date of issue - July 1994 (2 iss	`	······································			
Amount of issue - \$753,692	,				
Amount of issue - \$755,072					
	2.00	10/01/02	\$ 34,619	\$ -	\$ 34,619
	2.00	10/01/03	35,329	35,329	35,329
	2.00	10/01/04	36,041	36,0 4 1	36,041
	2.00	10/01/05	37,226	37,226	37,226
	2.00	10/01/06	37,701	37,701	37,701
	2.00	10/01/07	38,649	38,649	38,649
	2.00	10/01/08	39,361	39,361	39,361
	2.00	10/01/09	40,546	40,546	40,546
	2.00	10/01/10	41,494	41,494	41,494
	2.00	10/01/11	42,206	42,206	42,206
	2.00	10/01/12	43,391	43,391	43,391
	2.00	10/01/13	44,576	44,576	44,576
	2.00	10/01/14	45,525	45,525	45,525
	2.00	10/01/15	41,032	41,032	41,032
Total				523,077	557,696



	Interest		Amo	ount of		Principal C	utst	anding
	Rate	Date of	Ar	nnual		June	e 30	
Description	(Percent)	Maturity	Ma	turity		2003		2002
State Revolving Fund Loan - Do	ownriver (C	ontinued)						
Date of issue - July 1997 (2 iss	`	,						
Amount of issue - \$222,750	,							
, aca cc.a.c	2.25	10/01/02	\$	9,144	\$	_	\$	9,144
	2.25	10/01/02	Ψ	9,360	Ψ	9,360	Ψ	9,360
	2.25	10/01/03		9,558		9,558		9,558
	2.25	10/01/05		9,792		9,792		9,792
	2.25	10/01/06		10,008		10,008		10,008
	2.25	10/01/07		10,242		10,242		10,242
	2.25	10/01/08		10,476		10,476		10,476
	2.25	10/01/09		10,692		10,692		10,692
	2.25	10/01/10		10,962		10,962		10,962
	2.25	10/01/11		11,196		11,196		11,196
	2.25	10/01/12		11,466		11,466		11,466
	2.25	10/01/13		11,700		11,700		11,700
	2.25	10/01/14		12,006		12,006		12,006
	2.25	10/01/15		12,258		12,258		12,258
	2.25	10/01/16		12,528		12,528		12,528
	2.25	10/01/17		12,816		12,816		12,816
	2.25	10/01/18		13,122		13,122		13,122
	2.25	10/01/19		12,528		12,528		12,528
	2.25	10/01/20		12,834		12,834		12,834
Total						203,544		212,688



	Interest		An	nount of	Principal C	utst	anding
	Rate	Date of		Annual	June	e 30	
Description	(Percent)	Maturity		laturity	 2003		2002
•							
State Revolving Fund Loan - Do	•	ontinued)					
Date of issue - July 1998 (3 iss	sues)						
Amount of issue - \$512,365							
	2.25	10/01/02	\$	21,110	\$ -	\$	21,110
	2.25	10/01/03		21,919	21,919		21,919
	2.25	10/01/04		22,350	22,350		22,350
	2.25	10/01/05		22,996	22,996		22,996
	2.25	10/01/06		23,425	23,425		23,425
	2.25	10/01/07		24,069	24,069		24,069
	2.25	10/01/08		24,501	24,501		24,501
	2.25	10/01/09		25,145	25,145		25,145
	2.25	10/01/10		25,576	25,576		25,576
	2.25	10/01/11		26,220	26,220		26,220
	2.25	10/01/12		26,865	26,865		26,865
	2.25	10/01/13		27,510	27,510		27,510
	2.25	10/01/14		28,155	28,155		28,155
	2.25	10/01/15		28,799	28,799		28,799
	2.25	10/01/16		29,444	29,444		29,444
	2.25	10/01/17		30,091	30,091		30,091
	2.25	10/01/18		30,736	30,736		30,736
	2.25	10/01/19		31,384	31,384		31,384
	2.25	10/01/20		576	 576		576
Total					449,761		470,871



	Interest		Amou	ınt of		Principal C	utsta	nding
	Rate	Date of	Ann	nual		June	e 30	
Description	(Percent)	Maturity	Matu	ırity		2003		2002
State Revolving Fund Loan - I	Downriver (C	(ontinued)						
Date of issue - July 1999 (2 i	•	ontinueu)						
Amount of issue - \$246,649	,							
Amount of issue - \$210,017		4/01/02	ď	100	ф		ф	100
	2.25	4/01/02	\$	198	\$	-	\$	198
	2.25	4/01/03		9,677		-		9,677
	2.25	4/01/04		10,201		10,201		9,964
	2.25	4/01/05		10,438		10,438		10,201
	2.25	4/01/06		10,675		10,675		10,438
	2.25	4/01/07		10,912		10,912		10,675
	2.25	4/01/08		11,149		11,149		10,912
	2.25	4/01/09	I	11,385		11,385		11,149
	2.25	4/01/10	ļ	11,622		11,622		11,385
	2.25	4/01/11	I	12,145		12,145		11,622
	2.25	4/01/12	I	12,382		12,382		12,145
	2.25	4/01/13	ļ	12,619		12,619		12,382
	2.25	4/01/14	I	12,856		12,856		12,619
	2.25	4/01/15	ı	13,330		13,330		12,856
	2.25	4/01/16	ĺ	13,567		13,567		13,330
	2.25	4/01/17	ĺ	14,091		14,091		13,567
	2.25	4/01/18	I	14,328		14,328		14,091
	2.25	4/01/19		14,802		14,802		14,328
	2.25	4/01/20		15,039		15,039		14,802
	2.25	4/01/21		15,265		15,265		15,039
	2.25	4/01/22		-		-		15,269
Total						226,806		246,649
Total State	e Revolving Fu	nd Loans - D) Ownrive	er	\$ 3	3,225,723	\$ 3 ,	404,606



	Interest				Principal Outstanding			
	Rate	Date of			June		e 30 2002	
Description	(Percent)	Maturity						
D			A	. J D				
Downriver Sewage Disposal S	ystems Bon	as - Series	A aı	na B				
Date of issue - July 1994 Amount of issue - \$368,751								
Amount of issue - \$300,731	6.25	11/01/02	\$	6,039	\$		\$	6,039
	6.31	11/01/02	Ψ	6,504	Ψ	6,504	Ψ	6,504
	0.51	11/01/03		0,504		0,501		0,501
Total Downriver Se	wage Dispos	al System B	onds	; -				
Series A and B					\$	6,504	\$	12,543
								_
State of Michigan Boughing Fr		SCO Busin	-4					
State of Michigan Revolving For Date of issue - September 28		LSO Projec	ct					
Amount of issue - \$5,860,00								
,	2.25	10/01/02	\$	250,000	\$	_	\$	250,000
	2.25	10/01/03	·	260,000	·	260,000	·	260,000
	2.25	10/01/04		260,000		260,000		260,000
	2.25	10/01/05		270,000		270,000		270,000
	2.25	10/01/06		275,000		275,000		275,000
	2.25	10/01/07		280,000		280,000		280,000
	2.25	10/01/08		285,000		285,000		285,000
	2.25	10/01/09		295,000		295,000		295,000
	2.25	10/01/10		300,000		300,000		300,000
	2.25	10/01/11		310,000		310,000		310,000
	2.25	10/01/12		315,000		315,000		315,000
	2.25	10/01/13		320,000		320,000		320,000
	2.25	10/01/14		330,000		330,000		313,688
	2.25	10/01/15		335,000		*335,000		_
	2.25	10/01/16		345,000		*345,000		-
	2.25	10/01/17		350,000		*350,000		_
	2.25	10/01/18		360,000		*360,000		_
						3,500,000		3,733,688
		Less amou	nts n	ot drawn		(48,401)		-
Total State of Michi	gan Revolving	g Fund Loar	ı - CS	SO Project	\$ 3	<u>,451,599</u>	\$ 3	3,733,688

^{*} Amount represents additional borrowings during 2003.



	Interest			Principal (Outstanding
	Rate	Date of	Amount of	Jun	e 30
Description	(Percent)	Maturity	Annual Maturity	2003	2002
Wayne County Local Governm	nent Loan	Program R	evenue Bonds -	- CSO Project	
Date of issue - November 16		· ·		•	
Amount of issue - \$16,300,00	00				
	4.500	11/01/02	\$ 600,000	\$ -	\$ 600,000
	4.600	11/01/02	625,000	Ф - 625,000	625,000
	4.700	11/01/03	655,000	655,000	655,000
	4.800	11/01/04		·	
	4.900		685,000	685,000	685,000
		11/01/06	720,000	720,000	720,000
	5.000	11/01/07	755,000	755,000	755,000
	5.100	11/01/08	795,000	795,000	795,000
	5.150	11/01/09	835,000	835,000	835,000
	5.250	11/01/10	875,000	875,000	875,000
	5.300	11/01/11	920,000	920,000	920,000
	5.375	11/01/12	970,000	970,000	970,000
	5.375	11/01/13	1,025,000	1,025,000	1,025,000
	5.375	11/01/14	1,080,000	1,080,000	1,080,000
	5.375	11/01/15	1,135,000	1,135,000	1,135,000
	5.375	11/01/16	1,200,000	1,200,000	1,200,000
	5.375	11/01/17	1,260,000	1,260,000	1,260,000
Total Wayne Count	y Local Gov	ernment			
Loan Program F	•		roject	\$ 13,535,000	\$ 14,135,000
_					
State of Michigan Fiscal Stabil	ization Bo	nds			
Date of issue - April 11, 199					
Amount of issue - \$1,465,00					
7 thouse of 155de \$1,105,00	7.25	11/01/02	\$ 165,000	\$ -	\$ 165,000
	7.25 7.25	11/01/02		Ψ -	175,000
				*	175,000
	7.25	11/01/04		*	•
	7.25	11/01/05	200,000		200,000
	7.25	11/01/06	215,000	*	215,000
Total				-	940,000

^{*} Amounts were defeased during the year.



	Interest			Principal (Outstanding
	Rate	Date of	Amount of	Jun	e 30
Description	(Percent)	Maturity	Annual Maturity	2003	2002
tate of Michigan Fiscal S	Stabilization Bo	nds Saria	- 2002B		
Date of issue - Novemb		nus, serie	S 2002B		
Amount of issue - \$6,80					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		4 120.000		•
	2.000	11/01/03	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	\$ -
	2.000	11/01/04	130,000	130,000	-
	2.000	11/01/05	135,000	135,000	-
	2.300	11/01/06	135,000	135,000	-
	2.700	11/01/07	140,000	140,000	-
	3.000	11/01/08	145,000	145,000	-
	3.200	11/01/09	145,000	145,000	-
	3.500	11/01/10	150,000	150,000	-
	3.600	11/01/11	155,000	155,000	-
	3.700	11/01/12	165,000	165,000	-
	4.000	11/01/13	170,000	170,000	-
	4.000	11/01/14	175,000	175,000	-
	4.200	11/01/15	185,000	185,000	-
	4.375	11/01/16	190,000	190,000	-
	4.500	11/01/17	200,000	200,000	-
	4.600	11/01/18	210,000	210,000	-
	4.700	11/01/19	215,000	215,000	-
	4.750	11/01/20	230,000	230,000	
	5.000	11/01/21	240,000	240,000	
	5.000	11/01/22	250,000	250,000	
	5.000	11/01/23	265,000	265,000	-
	5.000	11/01/24	275,000	275,000	_
	5.000	11/01/25	290,000	290,000	_
	5.000	11/01/26	305,000	305,000	_
	5.000	11/01/27	320,000	320,000	_
	5.000	11/01/28	335,000	335,000	_
	5.000	11/01/29	350,000	350,000	
	5.000	11/01/29	370,000	370,000	-
	5.000	11/01/30	390,000	370,000	-
					-
	5.000	11/01/32	410,000	410,000	
Total				6,805,000	
Total	State of Michigan	n fiscal stab	ilization bonds	\$ 6,805,000	\$ 940,00



November 14, 2003

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of River Rouge 10600 W. Jefferson Ave. River Rouge, MI 48218-4711

Dear Mayor and Council Members:

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the City of River Rouge for the year ending June 30, 2003, we considered the City's internal control structure in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. The consideration we gave to the internal control structure was not sufficient for us to provide any form of assurance on it. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control structure and its operation that we consider reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions are significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control structure that have come to our attention and, in our judgment, could adversely affect the City's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with management's assertions inherent in the financial statements.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control structure elements does not reduce, to a relatively low level, the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Our consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control structure that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, also considered to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, we noted certain reportable conditions that we believe to be material weaknesses and have included them in the following pages labeled *Internal Accounting Controls*. Other reportable conditions, as outlined above, that are not considered material weaknesses, as well as other items we feel warrant your consideration, are included in the section labeled *Other Comments and Recommendations*.

This report is intended solely for the information and the use of the City of River Rouge management and others within the City.

We would like to express our appreciation to the City officials and accounting staff for their assistance and cooperation during the course of our audit. If we can be of additional assistance, or if you have any questions regarding our comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

PLANTE & MORAN, PLLC

Bruce M. Berend

Scott M. Janssen

General Fund Operating/Fund Deficit

Although the City has implemented significant cost cutting measures during the past two years, the operating deficit and the fund deficit in the General Fund for the years ended June 30, 2002 and 2003 were approximately \$2,149,000 and \$1,865,000, respectively. A significant factor for this was the result of deferring approximately \$1,012,000 and \$1,857,000 of property tax revenue from National Steel in 2002 and 2003, respectively, because of their bankruptcy filing and the timing of cash collections. We continue to urge you to make balancing the operating budget an immediate and high priority item. Again, we encourage the City to eliminate all non-essential programs and give immediate consideration to other cost cutting measures, including further reductions in staff levels.

Self Insurance Cash Account

The bank continues to make minimum balance transfers from the City's operating cash account to the self-insurance account. This transfer is to an imprest bank account on which the City does not write checks and does not reconcile the disbursements made by the third party to actual checks cashed. The automatic transfers made by the bank remove the City's control over the disbursements and eliminate the safeguards of an imprest account. These transfers amounted to approximately \$2,080,000 during the year ended June 30, 2003. The Council did approve payment of the total of each check run provided by the claims administrator, Automated Benefit Services (ABS). We recommend the City reconcile this bank account along with all other cash accounts on a monthly basis. We understand the City has made arrangements to have this process begin in July 2003.

Water and Sewer Fund

Following are comments and recommendations to improve the operations and accounting controls of the Water and Sewer Department:

1. As you are aware, Wayne County obtained a judgment levy against the City to provide the necessary funds to pay the debt service on the CSO basin project. The judgment was necessary because the City's current rate structure was insufficient to cover these costs. Even with the levy covering these costs, the current rate structure remains insufficient to cover operating costs and necessary capital outlays (such as water line replacement). To ensure adequate cash flow City wide, it is critical that the City addresses this issue as soon as possible, including the possible affects on water and sewer rates.

Water and Sewer Fund (Continued)

2. The City's percentage of water loss (i.e. the water purchased from the City of Detroit, but not sold and/or charged to the City of River Rouge customers) increased to 36 percent for the year ended June 30, 2003. The water loss for the last 4 years is as follows:

Year ended June 30	Water Loss %
2000	39 %
2001	35 %
2002	32 %
2003	36 %

During the current year, the City continued to have numerous water line breaks and did install two pressure relief valves to help reduce future line breaks due to significant pressure changes. The City should continue to monitor the loss, and implement corrective actions to decrease this loss during the year ended June 30, 2004.

While a high water loss percentage is not uncommon for communities with a system as old as the River Rouge system, the lost revenue from water loss and the corresponding expense (e.g. sewage costs) must be prevented in order to maintain the economic viability of the water and sewer system.

The City should also periodically review its water loss during the year and follow up immediately on any unusual variances. Further, we suggest that this periodic review be documented, perhaps on a form specifically designed for this purpose, and that procedures be designed to report any unusual variances to the appropriate management personnel.

- 3. An accounts receivable trial balance should be generated and reconciled to the general ledger control account periodically (at least on a quarterly basis). Currently, the only reconciliation is performed by the auditors at year-end. As part of this reconciliation, each customer's account receivable balance should be reviewed for unusually large debit or credit balances, which may require further investigation.
- 4. The City does not have procedures in place to bill customers with broken meters. By failing to bill customers for water usage while meters are broken, the City is foregoing revenue and is aiding the increase in water loss. A policy should be adopted to bill customers with broken meters using estimated readings based on prior usage, until the meters can be repaired or replaced.

Retirement Systems

We offer the following comment and recommendation related to the retirement system:

Trustees currently administer both the Employees' and Police and Fire Retirement Systems. In order to provide accurate accounting information and allow for proper safeguarding of the assets, we recommend that the monthly activity statements received from the trustees be reconciled to the general ledger on a timely basis. The calculation of the City's monthly pension contribution should also be reviewed for completeness and accuracy before posting to the general ledger.

Cash Receipt System

A key element of internal control is the appropriate segregation of job responsibilities. The risk of intentional or unintentional error or omissions in the accounting records is reduced to the extent one individual is not involved to a significant degree with the majority of duties in any given area. A second important element of internal control is the establishment of accountability for every transaction as early as possible. A third desired element of internal control is the management review function.

In light of the above key elements of internal control, which really serve as goals for any system of internal control, and also considering the practicalities of the City's situation, we recommend that consideration be given to implementing the following suggestions that will improve overall internal control related to cash receipts:

- 1. Presently, all of staff in the Treasurer's Department collect cash. In addition, certain of these individuals also update the accounting records for cash receipts as well as make wire transfers for the City. We continue to recommend the City segregate these duties to the extent staff levels permit.
- 2. The City should also consider assigning someone independent of the Treasurer's office to review the water and sewer and property tax postings to ensure completeness and accuracy.

Cash Disbursements

The City has a procurement policy which requires all purchases over \$2,500 to go out to bid. We examined various transactions during the year including purchases of vehicles, computers, and heating and cooling repairs and found that four out of the six items selected failed to follow the proper bidding procedures. The City needs to enforce the procurement policy rather than continuing to use the same vendors to ensure that the City is fairly charged for services and products.

INTERNAL ACCOUNTING CONTROLS

Property Tax Collections

The City should ensure all current and delinquent property tax collections are distributed to the appropriate taxing entities on a timely basis. We understand that a system to track the allocation of delinquent property tax collections has been implemented; however, there were balances due to the various entities at June 30, 2003 as follows:

River Rouge Schools	\$131,000
Wayne County	\$234,000

Similarly, the State of Michigan's allocable portion of collections on the current year levy of approximately \$2,725,000 has also not been remitted.

Accounts Payable / Cash Disbursements / Procurement of Vendor

During our testing of the cash disbursements system, it was noted that multiple voucher requests were not authorized by the finance director. Authorization for payment is a procedure that should be consistently followed. It was also noted that all staff in the Treasurer's Department have access to the check-signing stamp. We recommend that access to the stamp be restricted to the Deputy Treasurer and that the stamp be maintained in a more secure location.

It was also brought to our attention that the City does not have a policy in place to verify that contractors who perform work for the City have adequate workers' compensation coverage. During the past year, the City had to pay an additional \$68,000 in premiums, under its workers' compensation plan, to cover those contractors that did not have workers' compensation coverage. The City should institute a policy to verify that contractors have workers' compensation coverage prior to engaging them to perform work.

Fixed Asset Records

As mentioned in prior years, we noted that the supporting fixed asset records were not complete with respect to additions or disposals during the year. The City also does not have a formal policy indicating the dollar amount thresholds to be used for recording fixed asset additions. We recommend that all fixed asset records be updated on a regular basis. This would also include reviewing all repairs and maintenance accounts to ensure all asset purchases have been recorded in the fixed asset account group or capitalized in the Water & Sewer fund. Dollar thresholds should also be established for the addition of new fixed assets to both the account group and the Water and Sewer Fund.

In addition, to improve physical control over fixed assets, we recommend the City compile an inventory of all fixed assets and their historical or estimated costs. In connection with the inventory, we suggest that all fixed assets be tagged and given a code to facilitate creating a computer file. Proper planning and coding will allow the City to sort the fixed asset listing in different ways, such as by department, type of equipment or tag number. This capability will facilitate accountability by individuals given the responsibility for specific fixed assets. In addition, a comprehensive asset listing will be useful when evaluating your level of insurance coverage or in substantiating an insurance claim.

Water and Sewer Fund

Following are comments and recommendations to improve the operations and accounting controls of the Water and Sewer Department:

- 1. While reviewing customer billings, it was noted that there are payment agreements with customers delinquent on their water and sewer bills. The agreements are being authorized by the Water and Sewer Department. Current policy is that agreements can be made for any customer that has a past due water bill. Once a payment agreement is created, the delinquent water bills are not transferred to the tax rolls unless the customer misses two payments. Delinquent water bills should be transferred to the tax rolls for collection yearly, as amounts cannot be transferred to the rolls after three years. By creating payment agreements, the City risks the exposure of not being able to collect on delinquent water bills. We recommend that the City adopt a formal policy related to the creation of payment agreements, which includes specific qualifying criteria.
- 2. The City should review its procedures for allocation of all expenditures, including payroll to the different departments within the Water and Sewer Fund. EPA mandates require rates to be set based on actual costs for the water and sewer components separately. Proper allocations are essential to ensure that these mandates are met on an annual basis.
- 3. Given the significant capital expenditures incurred during the current year and the future debt payments expected in the next few years, monitoring the Water and Sewer Fund expenditures and revenue becomes increasingly important. It was noted that the Water and Sewer Fund budget was amended during the current year, however at year-end there were significant variances from the amended budget. We strongly urge the City to perform a timely budget analysis, which will aid in cash flow projections and help identify significant budget variances.
- 4. As mentioned in previous years, the City continues to have a substantial number and amount of unpaid water and sewer bills that eventually are added to the tax rolls. For example, one customer's outstanding balance had accumulated to over \$4,700 before action was taken. Through discussion with personnel in the Water and Sewer Department, it was determined that the City still does not have a shut off policy for customers that are delinquent on water bills. We would recommend that the City adopt an aggressive shut off policy to encourage timely payments and improve cash flows.

Water and Sewer Fund (Continued)

- 5. The City provides water and sewer service to several City of Ecorse residents that reside on the border between the two cities. It was noted that three of these residents have large delinquent water bills, which have doubled since last year. The City has no recourse against these residents other than to shut off their water as delinquent bills cannot be transferred to the tax rolls for nonresidents. We would recommend that the City include the Ecorse residents in any shut off policy that is adopted to encourage timely payments and improve cash flows.
- 6. While reviewing manual customer billings, it was noted that Shell Co. does not submit its quarterly statements of usage to the City on a timely basis, which prevents the City from billing the company regularly. The Water and Sewer Department should follow-up with Shell Co. in a timely manner to obtain a quarterly statement and / or prepare estimated billings to resolve this matter.

Federal Programs

- 1. While reviewing cash management procedures related to the Community Development Block grant, it was noted that requests for reimbursement are not always prepared on a timely basis. Generally, reimbursement requests are submitted at the end of a project, which can lead to a significant time lag between the expenditure and the subsequent reimbursement. Given the current economic condition of the City, the General Fund cannot afford to subsidize the grant programs for any longer than is necessary. We would suggest that requests for reimbursement be completed as quickly as possible.
- 2. The City submitted documentation supporting Community Development Block Grant expenditures and requested reimbursement prior to releasing its check to the vendor. We noted another instance where the City submitted documentation requesting reimbursement prior to spending program income on hand. The City should establish policies to discontinue requesting reimbursements prior to incurring the expenditure or using program income.
- 3. The City was at risk of having federal funding recaptured as a result of not planning and starting projects during the period of availability. As a result, the City submitted a reimbursement request prior to actually incurring the expenditure. The City should implement a cash management program to ensure both timely spending of awards and accurate submission of reimbursement requests. This will reduce the risk of recaptured federal funding and reduce the likelihood that the City will have to completely fund its own projects.

OTHER COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Other Items

- 1. The City should establish and maintain a detailed summary of all delinquent personal property taxes outstanding, reconcile this summary to the general ledger and continue to aggressively pursue the collection of these receivables.
- 2. The City should ensure that all annual reports are filed timely. For example, during the current year the F-65 was filed late.
- 3. The City should require retainage terms to be included in significant construction contracts to ensure satisfactory and timely performance by the contractor.
- 4. The City should expand its existing computer back-up plan to include storing back-up tapes at an off-site location to avoid data loss in case of a catastrophic event such as a fire or flood.
- 5. Given the City's limited staffing levels, consideration should be given to eliminating the payroll services provided to the Housing Commission and the Economic Development Corporation (EDC). After the City issues the bi-weekly checks, there is approximately a 30 to 60 day wait for reimbursement. Additionally, it was noted that as of June 30, 2003, the Housing Commission was not billed for reimbursement of wages for work performed in December 2002 and May 2003. Overall, there is a receivable of approximately \$32,000 at June 30, 2003 related to the above. The City should consider reestablishing the policy of having these entities reimburse the City for each payroll at the time the checks are prepared and picked up. Timely reconciliation of the receivable balance will also allow the City to identify any errors and to bill and collect these amounts.
- 6. During our testing, we noted that activity related to Act 495 Fire Deposits is not accurately recorded in the general ledger. Deposit activity should be maintained in a separate subsidiary ledger, which will allow the City to accurately account for deposits received, balances remitted back to the homeowner and deposits turned over to the City as reimbursement for demolition costs incurred. This subsidiary ledger should be reconciled with the general ledger on an ongoing basis. As deposits are received by the Treasurer's Department, it is also important that the related addresses are documented so that refunds can easily be matched with the corresponding deposit. We also suggest retaining copies of receipts and checks used for disbursement.

OTHER COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Other items (Continued)

While reviewing building bond activity, we noted that an accurate schedule of bond deposits and refunds is not maintained. We recommend that a listing of deposits and refunds be maintained and reconciled to the general ledger. We have provided the Building Department with a schedule to be used for this purpose. In order to appropriately segregate the refundable amounts from the revenue, the Building Department should ensure proper communication to the Treasurer's office, so that the correct accounts can be updated. In addition, the City's listing of outstanding bonds contains old bonds dating back to 1998 which should be forfeited to the City. However, Building Department personnel indicated that the City's building bond ordinance does not allow for old outstanding bonds to be forfeited to the City. We recommend the City investigate and give consideration to changing its building bond ordinance, if necessary, to allow old bonds to be forfeited to the City's General Fund after a period of several years.

STATE SHARED REVENUE

The City has and will continue to feel the effects of the slow down in the State's economy. State shared revenue accounts for approximately \$1,710,000, or 18 percent of the City's total General Fund revenue. Because of slower than anticipated growth in the State's sales tax collections (the sole source of revenue sharing payments to local units of government) and the State's budget problems which have resulted in additional appropriation reductions to the revenue sharing line item in the State's budget, revenue sharing payments for the State's fiscal years ending September 30, 2002 and 2003 were less than originally projected.

The previous Governor's original budget recommendation for fiscal year 2002/2003 was to keep total revenue sharing payments to cities, villages, townships, and counties constant (or frozen) at the fiscal year 2001/2002 level. This recommendation included a reduction of approximately \$112 million from what the statutory formula would have otherwise allowed. As was well publicized, following the introduction of the previous Governor's fiscal year 2002/2003 budget there was an attempt in July 2002 to veto all statutory revenue sharing from the State's 2002-2003 budget. The attempt was not successful and the veto was overridden by the Michigan Legislature. However, in December 2002, revenue sharing was cut another \$53 million by an Executive Order of the previous Governor.

Currently, the budget proposed for the State's 2003/2004 fiscal year included another reduction to state shared revenue of 3% from fiscal year 2002/2003 levels. This version of the budget was ultimately adopted by the Michigan Legislature. While the State's budget has been approved for their 2003/2004 fiscal year, it includes several very significant assumptions which may not be realized. Additionally, it is generally acknowledged that the State's budget woes will continue for several more years. As a result, we continue to urge the City to be conservative in its estimation of state shared revenue as this line item in the State's budget remains vulnerable.

We have attached two charts to provide you with more detail on what has occurred with revenue sharing, both at the State level and relative to your City. The first chart represents total revenue sharing distributions to all cities, villages and townships. As the chart indicates, during the State's fiscal years 1997/1998 through 2000/2001, the State fully funded revenue sharing (i.e. meaning that all revenue sharing available to distribute by law was returned to local government). However, beginning with the State's 2001/2002 fiscal year and continuing forward, the State has not fully funded revenue sharing. In fact, over a three period (from fiscal years 2001/2002 through 2003/2004), the State has diverted over \$475 million of revenue sharing provided by law away from local government. The second chart demonstrates the impact that these cuts in revenue sharing by the State have had on the City of River Rouge. For the City, revenue sharing funding levels are approximately \$724,000 less for the 2003/2004 fiscal year as compared to fiscal year 1999/2000.

STATE SHARED REVENUE (continued)

We will continue to update the City as developments occur.

FEDERAL AID FROM AUGUST 2003 BLACKOUT

The State has requested aid from the Federal Government for costs incurred by the State and local governments as a result of the August 2003 blackout. Specifically, emergency monies were requested to reimburse Michigan governmental units for overtime for public safety and transportation workers and other out-of-pocket costs associated with the blackout. If you not already done so, identify your costs associated with the blackout in the event that the State is successful is receiving emergency funds from the Federal Government. It is expected that if emergency funds are received by the State, local units will be allowed to submit claims for consideration.

DISTRICT COURT FILING FEE REVENUE

The Michigan Legislature approved a new \$5 fee for communities with a third class district court. In the new provision, the monies raised by the increased fee would be designated for the local courts.

NEW HOMESTEAD AUDIT PROGRAM

Public Act. 105 of 2003 provides new authority for local units of government and the Michigan Department of Treasury to share homestead information to determine if a resident is illegally claiming an homestead exemption on property. As you recall, following the passage of Proposal A in 1994, individuals in Michigan are allowed only one homestead for property tax purposes. A residence which is not an individual's homestead pays property tax at the non-homestead rate. The Michigan Department of Treasury will publish additional guidance related to this new law.

STATE TO FUND PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX AUDITS

The State's fiscal year 2003/2004 General Government budget approved by the Legislature and the Governor contains an additional appropriation (\$7 million) for personal property tax audits. The Michigan Department of Treasury is responsible for developing the guidelines of the program.

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT REVENUE

Local units of government had until May 9, 2003 to notify the State that they were "opting in" to new Telecommunications Act. All local units recognized by the METRO Authority as having "opted in" are listed on their website (www.michigan.gov/metro). The first payment was for a partial year and at a prorated rate. The second and future payments should be much larger as they will be based on a full year and at the standard rate. Per our review of the website, the City did not "opt in". However, you may still optin by December 31, 2003 ("opting in" by December 31, 2003 will qualify you for the second payment and all future payments as well as the first payment with interest). Checks for the second payment (including the first payment with interest, if applicable) will be distributed in the Spring of 2004.

ACH ARRANGEMENTS (September 2003)

Recently, Public Act 738 was passed, which allows local units of government to make and accept electronic funds transfers (ACH payments), if the local unit adopts a formal policy. While local units of government may have already been using the ACH system for payroll tax remittances and for the direct deposit of payroll, this new law requires a policy to be adopted.

Beginning immediately, the Council may need to adopt a policy on ACH arrangements that includes all of the following:

- Designation of an individual as the party responsible for payment approval, accounting, reporting and compliance with the ACH policy;
- A statement that this individual is required to submit documentation as follows:
 - describe the goods or services purchased,
 - o the cost.
 - date of payment, and
 - o the department benefiting from the purchase;
- · A system of internal controls to monitor the use of ACH transactions; and
- The approval of ACH invoices before payment.

PERSONAL PROPERTY TAX

As you are aware, the State Tax Commission approved several years ago new personal property tax tables for utilities. The new tables made drastic changes to the transmission and distribution property of utilities. General estimates are that the use of the new utility tables result in approximately a 30% revenue loss on those properties. The City, like many other communities, has elected to continue to assess the transmission and distribution property of utilities using the old personal property tax tables. This issue was the subject of several lawsuits brought by several units of government against the State Tax Commission challenging the constitutionality of the new utility personal property tax tables. To date, the new utility personal property tax tables implemented by the State Tax Commission have been upheld. The State is currently in the process of determining how refunds will be made on appeals filed by the utilities pending the final resolution of this matter. We encourage the City to continue to monitor these developments as the City could be negatively impacted by the outcome.

PROPERTY ASSESSMENT CAP

As you recall, Proposal A limits the growth in taxable value to the lesser of inflation or 5 percent. The inflation factor for this calculation is published by the State Tax Commission and is:

2003	1.5%
2002	3.2%
2001	3.2%
2000	1.9%
1999	1.6%
1998	2.7%
1997	2.8%
1996	2.8%
1995	2.6%
1994	3.0%

The increases in the taxable value of property for 1999, 2000 and 2003 were the lowest increases since the 1994 adoption of Proposal A. The 2002 inflation factor is being used for property taxes levied in the City's fiscal year ended June 30, 2003.

Property taxes are the City's largest source of General Fund revenue. However, as indicated above, growth in existing property can be significantly limited due to Proposal A. This factor should be considered when the City is involved in long-term financial planning.

LEGISLATIVE ISSUES

MUNICIPAL FINANCE ACT REVISIONS - REMINDER

The Municipal Finance Act was amended during 2001. Beginning after March 2002, communities are now required to submit a filing once a year with the Michigan Department of Treasury. The old ten day "exemption from prior approval" process has been eliminated and is replaced with this qualification process. This filing will serve as a pre-approval for future debt issues. The current filing is due within six months of the City's year end (June 30, 2003) and is good for one year thereafter.

ACCOUNTING ISSUES

GASB 34 - New Financial Reporting Model

As you are probably aware, July 1, 2002 was the implementation date of GASB 34, the statement which created a new governmental reporting model. Given the current financial condition of the City and considering the additional time, effort and costs that will be associated with this process, it has been decided to delay the implementation of GASB 34. The impact upon the City's financial statements is the issuance of an adverse opinion on the Independent Auditor's Report Letter for the June 30, 2003 financial statements. Should the City decide to implement this pronouncement in the future, we would be happy to assist your staff with this process.